Journal of Emerging Technologies and Industrial Applications
Vol. 4 No. 1 (2025) pp. 1-42
e-ISSN: 2948-507X
© Malaysia Board of Technologists (MBOT)
Journal homepage: https://jetia.mbot.org.my/index.php/jetia/index

A Discussion of Omics Integration in Harnessing Agricultural Waste: A Comprehensive Review on the Conversion of Empty Fruit Bunches into Biofertilizer

Chee Loong Teo*

Department of Research and Development, Agri Season Sdn. Bhd. No 30, Lot 2718 Jalan Kejayaan 1, Batu 24, 81900 Kota Tinggi, Johor, MALAYSIA.

*Email: anthony1109@hotmail.my

Abstract: Food waste valorization has gained increasing attention as a sustainable approach to mitigate environmental impacts and enhance resource efficiency in agricultural and industrial sectors. This review focuses on the integration of omics technologies—genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics—in harnessing agricultural waste, particularly the conversion of Empty Fruit Bunches (EFB) from the palm oil industry into biofertilizer. The study explores the microbial communities, enzymatic processes, and metabolic pathways involved in EFB degradation and nutrient enrichment. Additionally, it discusses current challenges in food waste management, regulatory considerations, and industrial-scale applications of biofertilizers. The review highlights how integrating multi-omics approaches enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of biofertilizer production, contributing to circular economy practices and sustainable agriculture. Lastly, future research directions and potential policy interventions are proposed to standardize biofertilizer quality, optimize microbial consortia, and facilitate large-scale adoption. This work aims to provide comprehensive insights into innovative strategies for agricultural waste valorization and its role in environmental and economic sustainability.

Received 10 February 2025; Accepted 20 May 2025; Available online 30 June 2025

Keywords: Empty Fruit Bunch; Agriculture; Food waste valorization; Interdisciplinary collaboration; Technological innovation; Policy development; Environmental sustainability

*Corresponding Author:

Chee Loong
Department of Research and Development,
Agri Season Sdn. Bhd. No 30,
Lot 2718 Jalan Kejayaan 1, Batu 24,
81900 Kota Tinggi, Johor, MALAYSIA
Email : anthony1109@hotmail.my

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background and Significance of Valorizing Food Waste

Food waste is a pervasive global issue that has significant economic, environmental, and social implications [1]. Every year, a staggering amount of food is wasted throughout the food supply chain, from production and processing to distribution and consumption [2]. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimates that approximately one-third of all food produced for human consumption, equivalent to about 1.3 billion tons, is lost or wasted annually worldwide [1].

At the production stage, factors such as inefficient agricultural practices, post-harvest losses, and cosmetic standards contribute to food waste [2]. During processing and distribution, losses occur due to spoilage, inadequate storage facilities, transportation issues, and market fluctuations [2]. In households, food waste often results from over-purchasing, improper storage, and lack of meal planning [1].

The consequences of food waste are multifaceted and profound [1]. Economically, food waste represents a significant loss of resources, including labor, energy, water, and land [2]. It also imposes financial burdens on producers, retailers, and consumers, leading to increased production costs and higher prices for consumers [1].

From an environmental perspective, food waste exacerbates various sustainability challenges [1]. The resources invested in producing wasted food, such as land, water, fertilizers, and pesticides, contribute to environmental degradation and biodiversity loss [2]. Moreover, food waste generates greenhouse gas emissions throughout its lifecycle, including methane emissions from anaerobic decomposition in landfills, which contribute to climate change [1].

Socially, food waste perpetuates food insecurity and exacerbates disparities in access to nutritious food [1]. While millions of people worldwide suffer from hunger and malnutrition, vast quantities of edible food are discarded, highlighting inefficiencies in food distribution and access [2].

In light of these challenges, there is growing recognition of the need to valorize food waste, transforming it into valuable resources rather than allowing it to be squandered [1]. Valorization entails the utilization of food waste to generate economic, environmental, and social benefits, thereby promoting a more sustainable and circular food system.

One promising approach to valorizing food waste is through the development of innovative technologies and processes for its conversion into high-value products [1]. This includes the production of biofuels, bio-based chemicals, animal feed, and agricultural inputs such as biofertilizers and soil amendments [1, 2]. By harnessing the nutrients and organic matter present in food waste, these valorization pathways contribute to resource conservation, renewable energy production, and soil health improvement [1, 2].

Furthermore, valorizing food waste aligns with broader sustainability goals, including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) [3]. It offers opportunities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, alleviate pressure on natural ecosystems, and promote a more equitable and resilient food system [1].

In conclusion, the valorization of food waste is imperative for addressing the complex challenges associated with food waste while advancing sustainability objectives [1]. By recognizing the inherent value in food waste and implementing innovative strategies for its utilization, society can create a more efficient, equitable, and environmentally sustainable food system.

1.2 Overview of Omics Technologies in Agricultural Waste Utilization

In recent years, omics technologies have emerged as powerful tools for unraveling the complexities of biological systems and unlocking the potential of agricultural waste utilization. These interdisciplinary approaches, encompassing genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, offer comprehensive insights into the molecular composition, dynamics, and interactions within biological systems. By harnessing the capabilities of omics technologies, researchers can optimize the valorization of agricultural waste, transforming it into valuable resources such as biofuels, biopolymers, biofertilizers, and functional ingredients for various industrial and agricultural applications [4].

1.2.1 Genomics in Agricultural Waste Utilization

Genomics, the study of an organism's entire genome, provides a foundational understanding of the genetic blueprint underlying agricultural waste materials. Through genomic analysis, researchers can identify key genes and metabolic pathways involved in the degradation of biomass components, such as cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, present in agricultural residues [5]. This information facilitates the engineering of microbial consortia or genetically modified organisms (GMOs) capable of efficiently converting agricultural waste into biofuels or biochemicals through processes such as enzymatic hydrolysis or fermentation [6]. Additionally, genomics enables the discovery of novel enzymes or metabolic pathways with potential applications in biorefinery processes, enhancing the efficiency and sustainability of agricultural waste valorization.

1.2.2 Transcriptomics in Agricultural Waste Utilization

Transcriptomics involves the comprehensive analysis of an organism's transcriptome, representing the complete set of RNA molecules expressed under specific conditions or developmental stages. In the context of agricultural waste utilization, transcriptomic studies provide insights into the dynamic gene expression patterns of microorganisms or enzyme-producing organisms during biomass degradation. By profiling gene expression changes in response to different substrates or environmental conditions, researchers can identify key genes encoding lignocellulolytic enzymes, transporters, and regulatory factors involved in biomass conversion processes. Transcriptomic data also facilitate the optimization of fermentation conditions and metabolic engineering strategies for enhancing the production of biofuels or value-added chemicals from agricultural residues [7].

1.2.3 Proteomics in Agricultural Waste Utilization

Proteomics focuses on the comprehensive analysis of an organism's proteome, encompassing all expressed proteins and their post-translational modifications. In agricultural waste utilization, proteomic approaches offer insights into the functional proteins and enzymatic activities involved in biomass degradation and conversion processes. By characterizing the extracellular proteomes of microbial consortia or enzyme-producing organisms, researchers can identify and characterize key lignocellulolytic enzymes, such as cellulases, hemicellulases, and ligninases, responsible for biomass degradation [8]. Proteomic analysis also enables the discovery of novel enzymes with unique catalytic properties or improved performance under harsh conditions, facilitating the development of robust biocatalysts for biomass conversion [9].

1.2.4 Metabolomics in Agricultural Waste Utilization

Metabolomics involves the comprehensive analysis of an organism's metabolome, representing the complete set of small molecules or metabolites present in cells or biological samples. In the context of agricultural waste utilization, metabolomic studies provide insights into the metabolic pathways and fluxes involved in biomass degradation and conversion processes [10]. By profiling changes in metabolite concentrations or fluxes in response to different substrates or fermentation conditions, researchers can elucidate metabolic bottlenecks and identify targets for metabolic engineering or pathway optimization. Metabolomic data also enable the monitoring of fermentation processes and the assessment of product yields, purity, and quality, contributing to the development of efficient and sustainable biorefinery platforms [11].

In conclusion, omics technologies offer powerful tools for advancing agricultural waste utilization and biorefinery processes. By providing comprehensive insights into the molecular composition, dynamics, and interactions within biological systems, genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics enable the optimization of biomass conversion processes, the discovery of novel enzymes or metabolic pathways, and the development of sustainable biorefinery platforms. Leveraging the capabilities of omics technologies holds great promise for transforming agricultural waste into valuable resources, contributing to the development of a more sustainable and circular bioeconomy.

1.3 Focus on converting empty fruit bunches (EFB) into biofertilizer

1.3.1 Converting Empty Fruit Bunches (EFB) into Biofertilizer

Empty fruit bunches (EFB) are a significant byproduct of the palm oil industry, accounting for a substantial portion of the total biomass generated during palm oil production. Traditionally, EFB has been considered a waste material and often disposed of through burning or landfilling, leading to environmental pollution and resource wastage [12]. However, in recent years, there has been growing interest in valorizing EFB as a valuable resource for the production of biofertilizer, a sustainable alternative to chemical fertilizers derived from fossil fuels.

1.3.2 Overview of EFB Composition

EFB consists mainly of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and other organic compounds, making it a rich source of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and micronutrients essential for plant growth [13]. The high lignocellulosic content of EFB presents both challenges and opportunities for its conversion into biofertilizer. On one hand, the recalcitrant nature of lignocellulosic biomass requires efficient pretreatment and conversion processes to release nutrients and make them accessible to plants. On the other hand, the diverse microbial communities present in EFB offer the potential for biological conversion and nutrient enrichment through composting or microbial fermentation.

1.3.3 Biological Conversion of EFB into Biofertilizer

One of the most commonly employed methods for converting EFB into biofertilizer is composting, a natural biological process that involves the aerobic decomposition of organic materials by microorganisms [14]. During composting, microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes, break down complex organic compounds in EFB into simpler, nutrient-rich compounds, releasing carbon dioxide, water, and heat as byproducts [12]. The composting process is facilitated by controlling key factors such as moisture content, temperature, aeration, and carbon-to-nitrogen ratio to create optimal conditions for microbial activity and nutrient transformation [14].

Microbial fermentation is another promising approach for converting EFB into biofertilizer, leveraging the metabolic activities of specific microorganisms to enrich EFB with beneficial nutrients and bioactive compounds [12]. Inoculation of EFB with selected microbial strains or consortia capable of solubilizing organic and inorganic nutrients can enhance the nutrient content and bioavailability of the resulting biofertilizer [13]. Moreover, microbial fermentation can contribute to the degradation of lignocellulosic biomass, resulting in the release of valuable nutrients and the suppression of phytopathogens and weed seeds [12].

1.3.4 Nutrient Enrichment and Bioavailability

The conversion of EFB into biofertilizer involves the transformation and enrichment of nutrients, including nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, micronutrients, and organic matter, essential for plant growth and soil fertility [13]. Composting and microbial fermentation processes promote the mineralization and solubilization of nutrients present in EFB, making them more readily available for plant uptake and utilization. Furthermore, the microbial activity during composting and fermentation contributes to the synthesis and secretion of bioactive compounds, such as enzymes, hormones, and growth-promoting substances, which can enhance plant growth, stress tolerance, and disease resistance [12].

1.3.5 Environmental and Agronomic Benefits

The utilization of EFB-derived biofertilizer offers several environmental and agronomic benefits. By recycling organic waste materials and reducing the reliance on chemical fertilizers, biofertilizer production contributes to waste management, resource conservation, and greenhouse gas mitigation [13]. Moreover, the application of biofertilizer improves soil structure, fertility, and microbial diversity, leading to enhanced crop productivity, yield stability, and nutrient cycling in agricultural ecosystems [12]. Additionally, biofertilizer can mitigate the negative impacts of chemical fertilizers on soil health, water quality, and ecosystem integrity, promoting sustainable agriculture and environmental stewardship [14].

1.3.6 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the potential benefits of converting EFB into biofertilizer, several challenges need to be addressed to realize its full potential. These include the development of cost-effective and scalable technologies for EFB collection, pretreatment, and conversion; optimization of composting and fermentation processes for nutrient enrichment and bioavailability; and evaluation of the agronomic performance and environmental impacts of EFB-derived biofertilizer under different soil and climatic conditions [12].

In conclusion, converting empty fruit bunches (EFB) into biofertilizer represents a sustainable and environmentally friendly approach to valorizing agricultural waste and enhancing soil fertility and crop productivity. By harnessing biological conversion processes such as composting and microbial fermentation, EFB can be transformed into nutrient-rich biofertilizer, contributing to waste management, resource conservation, and sustainable agriculture.

2.0 Omics Approaches in Agricultural Valorization

2.1 Genomics: Understanding genetic potential in EFB

2.1.1 Genomics: Understanding Genetic Potential in EFB

Genomics, the study of an organism's entire genome, plays a crucial role in unlocking the genetic potential of empty fruit bunches (EFB), a significant byproduct of the palm oil industry. By elucidating the genetic makeup and regulatory mechanisms underlying EFB, genomics offers valuable insights into its biotechnological applications, including biofertilizer production, biomass conversion, and value-added product synthesis. This comprehensive understanding of EFB genomics enables researchers to optimize bioprocessing strategies, enhance resource utilization, and develop sustainable solutions for palm oil waste management and valorization.

2.1.2 Genomic Characterization of EFB

The genomic characterization of EFB involves the sequencing, assembly, and annotation of its entire genome, providing a comprehensive blueprint of its genetic composition and organization. High-throughput sequencing technologies, such as next-generation sequencing (NGS) and third-generation sequencing platforms, facilitate the generation of large-scale genomic data sets for EFB [15]. These genomic resources enable the identification and analysis of genes, regulatory elements, and metabolic pathways associated with biomass composition, degradation, and conversion processes in EFB.

2.1.3 Identification of Biomass-Degrading Enzymes

Genomic analysis of EFB allows for the identification and characterization of genes encoding biomass-degrading enzymes, such as cellulases, hemicellulases, and ligninases [15]. These enzymes play a crucial role in the breakdown of lignocellulosic biomass components, including cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, into fermentable sugars and bioactive compounds [16]. By mining the EFB genome for genes encoding lignocellulolytic enzymes, researchers can discover novel enzymes with improved catalytic properties, substrate specificities, and thermostabilities for biomass conversion applications.

2.1.4 Regulatory Genomics and Gene Expression Profiling

Regulatory genomics focuses on the identification and characterization of regulatory elements, such as promoters, enhancers, and transcription factor binding sites, that control gene expression and cellular processes in EFB. Through transcriptomic and epigenomic analyses, researchers can profile the expression patterns of genes involved in biomass degradation, nutrient metabolism, and stress responses in EFB under different growth conditions [16]. This gene expression profiling provides insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying EFB adaptation to environmental stimuli and metabolic pathways relevant to bioprocessing and bioconversion applications.

2.1.5 Functional Genomics and Genome Editing

Functional genomics involves the functional characterization of genes and genetic elements in EFB through gene knockout, knockdown, or overexpression experiments [15]. Genome editing technologies, such as CRISPR-Cas9 and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs), enable precise manipulation of the EFB genome to modulate gene expression, pathway fluxes, and metabolic phenotypes [16]. By engineering EFB strains with enhanced biomass-degrading capabilities, stress tolerance, or product synthesis pathways, researchers can optimize bioprocessing strategies and develop tailor-made solutions for palm oil waste valorization.

2.1.6 Integration of Genomics with Systems Biology

The integration of genomics with other omics disciplines, such as transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, forms the basis of systems biology approaches for understanding complex biological systems. Systems biology combines computational modeling, data integration, and experimental validation to elucidate the interactions and dynamics of genes, proteins, and metabolites in EFB metabolism and bioprocessing [15]. This integrative approach enables researchers to model and predict the behavior of EFB-derived bioconversion processes, identify metabolic engineering targets, and optimize biorefinery platforms for sustainable palm oil waste valorization.

2.1.7 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the significant progress in EFB genomics, several challenges remain to be addressed to fully harness its genetic potential for biotechnological applications. These include the need for comprehensive genomic resources, such as reference genomes, transcriptomes, and epigenomes, for diverse EFB varieties and palm oil cultivars [16]. Moreover, the functional annotation and characterization of EFB genes and regulatory elements require experimental validation and functional assays to elucidate their roles in biomass degradation, nutrient metabolism, and product synthesis pathways [15]. Additionally, the integration of genomics with other omics disciplines and computational modeling approaches necessitates interdisciplinary collaboration and methodological advancements to overcome technical limitations and data complexity.

In conclusion, genomics offers powerful tools for understanding the genetic potential of empty fruit bunches (EFB) and unlocking their biotechnological applications in biomass conversion, biofertilizer production, and value-added product synthesis. By elucidating the genetic composition, regulatory mechanisms, and metabolic pathways in EFB, genomics enables the optimization of bioprocessing strategies, the development of sustainable solutions for palm oil waste valorization, and the advancement of systems biology approaches for complex biological systems analysis.

2.2 Transcriptomics: Profiling Gene Expression During Conversion Processes

Transcriptomics, the study of an organism's entire set of RNA transcripts, provides valuable insights into gene expression patterns, regulatory networks, and metabolic pathways involved in conversion processes of various biomaterials. By analyzing changes in gene expression profiles during biomass conversion, transcriptomics offers a comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying bioprocessing, biorefinery, and bioconversion strategies. This elucidation of gene expression dynamics enables researchers to optimize conversion processes, engineer microbial strains, and develop sustainable solutions for biomass valorization and waste management.

2.2.1 Overview of Transcriptomic Profiling

Transcriptomic profiling involves the comprehensive analysis of RNA transcripts, including messenger RNA (mRNA), non-coding RNA (ncRNA), and small regulatory RNA molecules, expressed under specific conditions or treatments [17]. High-throughput sequencing technologies, such as RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), enable the quantification and characterization of transcript abundance, alternative splicing events, and post-transcriptional modifications with high sensitivity and resolution [18]. Transcriptomic data sets generated from biomass conversion processes provide a snapshot of gene expression patterns, transcriptional dynamics, and regulatory responses in microbial, plant, or fungal systems.

2.2.2 Biomass Conversion Processes

Biomass conversion processes encompass a wide range of biotechnological strategies for transforming renewable biomass feedstocks into value-added products, such as biofuels, biochemicals, biopolymers, and biofertilizers [19]. These processes include enzymatic hydrolysis, fermentation, anaerobic digestion, pyrolysis, and gasification, each involving distinct biochemical pathways, metabolic transformations, and microbial interactions [20]. Transcriptomic profiling during biomass conversion provides insights into the expression of genes encoding biomass-degrading enzymes, metabolic pathways, and stress responses involved in substrate utilization, product synthesis, and bioreactor performance.

2.2.3 Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Biomass

Enzymatic hydrolysis is a key step in the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass into fermentable sugars for biofuel production [21]. Transcriptomic analysis during enzymatic hydrolysis reveals the expression of genes encoding cellulases, hemicellulases, and ligninases produced by microbial consortia or enzyme-producing organisms. These enzymes catalyze the breakdown of complex polysaccharides, such as cellulose and hemicellulose, into soluble sugars, such as glucose and xylose, which can be fermented into bioethanol or other value-added products [22]. Transcriptomic profiling also identifies genes involved in substrate transport, enzyme regulation, and stress responses under conditions relevant to enzymatic hydrolysis processes.

2.2.4 Fermentation of Biomass-Derived Sugars

Fermentation is a microbial conversion process that converts fermentable sugars derived from biomass into biofuels, biochemicals, and bioproducts through anaerobic or aerobic metabolism [23]. Transcriptomic analysis during fermentation elucidates the expression of genes encoding metabolic enzymes, transporters, and regulatory factors involved in sugar utilization, product synthesis, and cellular maintenance. These genes include those encoding enzymes of the glycolytic pathway, pentose phosphate pathway, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and fermentation pathways, as well as stress-responsive genes and genes involved in redox balance [24]. Transcriptomic profiling enables the identification of metabolic bottlenecks, regulatory targets, and metabolic engineering strategies for improving fermentation efficiency and product yields.

2.2.5 Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Waste

Anaerobic digestion is a biological process that converts organic waste materials, such as agricultural residues, food waste, and sewage sludge, into biogas, a renewable energy source consisting primarily of methane and carbon dioxide [25]. Transcriptomic analysis during anaerobic digestion reveals the expression of genes encoding enzymes, microbial populations, and metabolic pathways involved in organic matter degradation, methanogenesis, and syntrophic interactions [26]. These genes include those encoding hydrolytic enzymes, acetogenic bacteria, methanogenic archaea, and syntrophic bacteria involved in interspecies hydrogen transfer and metabolic cooperation [27]. Transcriptomic profiling provides insights into microbial community dynamics, metabolic shifts, and functional redundancy during anaerobic digestion processes.

2.2.6 Pyrolysis and Gasification of Biomass

Pyrolysis and gasification are thermochemical conversion processes that transform biomass into bio-oil, syngas, and biochar through high-temperature decomposition in the absence of oxygen [28]. Transcriptomic analysis during pyrolysis and gasification elucidates the expression of genes involved in biomass depolymerization, volatile gas formation, and char formation processes [29]. These genes include those encoding lignin-degrading enzymes, volatile organic compound synthesis enzymes, and heat shock proteins involved in cellular stress responses [30]. Transcriptomic profiling provides insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying biomass pyrolysis and gasification, enabling the optimization of process conditions, product yields, and biochar properties for various applications.

2.2.7 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the significant advances in transcriptomic profiling of biomass conversion processes, several challenges remain to be addressed to fully realize its potential for biotechnological applications. These include the need for standardized experimental protocols, data analysis pipelines, and bioinformatics tools for transcriptomic data interpretation and integration [31]. Moreover, the complexity and dynamic nature of gene expression regulation in microbial, plant, and fungal systems require multi-omics approaches, including transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, for comprehensive systems-level analysis [32]. Additionally, the integration of transcriptomic data with computational modeling and predictive analytics enables the design of optimized bioprocessing strategies and the discovery of novel biomolecules and bioconversion pathways [33].

In conclusion, transcriptomic profiling provides valuable insights into gene expression dynamics, metabolic pathways, and regulatory networks underlying biomass conversion processes. By elucidating the molecular mechanisms of bioprocessing, transcriptomics enables the optimization of conversion efficiency, the engineering of microbial strains, and the development of sustainable solutions for biomass valorization and waste management.

2.3 Proteomics: Identifying Key Proteins in Biofertilizer Development

Proteomics, the large-scale study of proteins, plays a pivotal role in biofertilizer development by identifying key proteins involved in nutrient mobilization, plant-microbe interactions, and soil fertility enhancement. By elucidating the proteome of microbial consortia, plant extracts, and soil samples, proteomics provides insights into the functional roles, regulatory mechanisms, and metabolic pathways of proteins contributing to biofertilizer efficacy and performance. This comprehensive understanding of the proteomic landscape enables researchers to optimize biofertilizer formulations, enhance nutrient bioavailability, and improve plant growth promotion in sustainable agriculture practices.

2.3.1 Overview of Proteomic Analysis

Proteomic analysis encompasses a variety of techniques for the identification, quantification, and characterization of proteins expressed in biological samples [34]. These techniques include gel-based methods, such as two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2DE) and sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), as well as gel-free methods, such as liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) [35]. Proteomic workflows involve protein extraction, separation, digestion, peptide analysis, and database searching to identify and annotate proteins based on their amino acid sequences and functional annotations [36].

2.3.2 Proteomics in Biofertilizer Development

Proteomics plays a crucial role in biofertilizer development by identifying key proteins involved in nutrient acquisition, nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and plant growth promotion. Microbial biofertilizers, such as rhizobia, mycorrhizae, and plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), rely on specific proteins and enzymes to establish symbiotic relationships with plants, enhance nutrient uptake, and improve soil fertility [37]. Proteomic analysis of microbial biomass and exudates reveals the expression of proteins involved in nutrient transport, enzyme secretion, and signaling pathways critical for biofertilizer efficacy and performance [38. 39].

2.3.3 Identification of Nitrogen-Fixing Proteins

Nitrogen-fixing bacteria, such as rhizobia and diazotrophic bacteria, play a key role in biofertilizer development by converting atmospheric nitrogen (N2) into ammonia (NH3), a form of nitrogen that plants can assimilate [40]. Proteomic analysis of nitrogen-fixing bacteria identifies key proteins involved in nitrogenase complex assembly, nitrogen fixation, and nitrogen metabolism pathways. These proteins include nitrogenase reductase (NifH), nitrogenase iron protein (NifD), nitrogenase molybdenum-iron protein (NifK), and nitrogen regulatory proteins (NtrC), which are essential for symbiotic nitrogen fixation and nitrogen assimilation in plants [41]. Proteomic profiling of nitrogen-fixing bacteria enables the optimization of biofertilizer inoculants and the selection of strains with superior nitrogen-fixing capabilities for sustainable agriculture practices.

2.3.4 Characterization of Phosphorus-Solubilizing Enzymes

Phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria, such as phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), enhance phosphorus availability in soil by secreting phosphatases, organic acids, and chelating compounds that solubilize insoluble phosphorus compounds [42]. Proteomic analysis of phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria and fungi identifies key enzymes and proteins involved in phosphorus acquisition, transport, and metabolism [43]. These proteins include phosphatases, phytases, transporter proteins, and regulatory factors that facilitate the release of soluble phosphorus from organic and inorganic sources [37]. Proteomic characterization of phosphorus-solubilizing enzymes enables the development of biofertilizer formulations with enhanced phosphorus bioavailability and plant growth promotion effects.

2.3.5 Elucidation of Plant-Microbe Interactions

Proteomics provides insights into plant-microbe interactions by elucidating the proteome of plant roots, rhizosphere soil, and microbial biofilms [44]. Proteomic analysis of root exudates and rhizosphere samples reveals the expression of proteins involved in plant defense, microbial colonization, and signaling pathways implicated in the establishment of beneficial symbiotic relationships [45]. These proteins include antimicrobial peptides, chitinases, glucanases, and flavonoids produced by plants to recruit beneficial microbes and suppress pathogenic invaders [46]. Proteomic profiling of microbial biofilms and root-associated communities identifies key proteins involved in adhesion, biofilm formation, and quorum sensing mechanisms critical for microbial colonization and persistence in the rhizosphere [47].

2.3.6 Application of Proteomics in Biofertilizer Field Trials

Proteomics is increasingly being applied in field trials to evaluate the efficacy and performance of biofertilizers under real-world agricultural conditions. Field-based proteomic studies involve the collection of plant, soil, and microbial samples from experimental plots treated with biofertilizers and control treatments. Proteomic analysis of these samples enables the monitoring of protein expression changes in response to biofertilizer application, environmental stresses, and crop growth stages [48]. These studies provide valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying biofertilizer effects on plant growth, nutrient uptake, and soil fertility, informing the optimization of biofertilizer formulations and application strategies for sustainable agriculture practices.

2.3.7 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the significant progress in proteomic analysis of biofertilizers, several challenges remain to be addressed to fully harness its potential for agricultural applications. These include the need for standardized protocols, quality control measures, and reference databases for proteomic data interpretation and validation [49]. Moreover, the complexity and dynamic nature of microbial communities, plant-microbe interactions, and soil ecosystems require integrative multi-omics approaches, including proteomics, metagenomics, and metabolomics, for systems-level analysis [50]. Additionally, the integration of proteomic data with computational modeling and predictive analytics enables the design of optimized biofertilizer formulations tailored to specific crop, soil, and climatic conditions [51].

In conclusion, proteomics plays a crucial role in biofertilizer development by identifying key proteins involved in nutrient mobilization, plant-microbe interactions, and soil fertility enhancement. By elucidating the proteomic landscape of microbial consortia, plant roots, and rhizosphere soil, proteomics enables the optimization of biofertilizer formulations and application strategies for sustainable agriculture practices.

2.4 Metabolomics: Analyzing Metabolite Changes for Improved Biofertilizer Quality

Metabolomics, the comprehensive study of small-molecule metabolites present in biological systems, offers a powerful tool for evaluating the quality and efficacy of biofertilizers. By analyzing changes in metabolite profiles during biofertilizer production, storage, and application, metabolomics provides insights into the biochemical composition, nutritional content, and microbial activity of biofertilizer formulations. This holistic understanding of metabolite dynamics enables researchers to optimize biofertilizer production processes, enhance nutrient bioavailability, and improve plant growth promotion in sustainable agriculture practices.

2.4.1 Overview of Metabolomic Analysis

Metabolomic analysis involves the qualitative and quantitative analysis of metabolites, including sugars, amino acids, organic acids, lipids, and secondary metabolites, in biological samples [52]. High-throughput analytical techniques, such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), enable the detection and identification of metabolites in complex biological matrices [53]. Metabolomic workflows encompass sample preparation, metabolite extraction, chromatographic separation, mass spectrometric detection, and data analysis to profile metabolite abundance and identify biomarkers associated with specific physiological states or treatments [54].

2.4.2 Metabolomics in Biofertilizer Development

Metabolomics plays a crucial role in biofertilizer development by evaluating the biochemical composition and nutritional content of microbial biomass, fermentation broths, and biofertilizer formulations. Microbial biofertilizers, such as rhizobia, mycorrhizae, and plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), rely on specific metabolites, such as organic acids, amino acids, vitamins, and phytohormones, to promote plant growth, enhance nutrient uptake, and improve soil fertility [55]. Metabolomic analysis of biofertilizer samples enables the identification and quantification of key metabolites associated with plant-microbe interactions, nutrient cycling, and soil ecosystem functioning [56].

2.4.3 Evaluation of Nutritional Quality

Metabolomics provides insights into the nutritional quality of biofertilizers by profiling the abundance of essential nutrients, such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sulfur (S), and micronutrients, in microbial biomass and fermentation products. Metabolomic analysis reveals the presence of amino acids, peptides, and nitrogenous compounds produced by nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphorus-solubilizing fungi, and potassium-solubilizing bacteria in biofertilizer formulations. These metabolites serve as sources of nutrients for plants, contribute to soil fertility, and enhance crop productivity in agroecosystems [57]. Metabolomic profiling of biofertilizer samples enables the assessment of their nutritional content and suitability for specific crops, soils, and environmental conditions.

2.4.4 Identification of Bioactive Compounds

Metabolomics facilitates the identification of bioactive compounds in biofertilizers that contribute to plant growth promotion, stress tolerance, and disease resistance [58]. Metabolomic analysis reveals the presence of phytohormones, such as auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, and abscisic acid, produced by plant growth-promoting microbes in biofertilizer formulations [59]. These phytohormones regulate plant development, root architecture, and stress responses, leading to improved crop yields and quality [60]. Metabolomic profiling of biofertilizer samples enables the identification of bioactive metabolites and signaling molecules involved in plant-microbe interactions and rhizosphere communication.

2.4.5 Monitoring Microbial Activity

Metabolomics provides insights into microbial metabolism and activity in biofertilizer formulations by profiling the production of primary and secondary metabolites by beneficial microorganisms. Metabolomic analysis reveals changes in metabolite profiles during microbial growth, substrate utilization, and fermentation processes [61]. These changes reflect shifts in microbial community composition, metabolic pathways, and functional capabilities, impacting the efficacy and performance of biofertilizers [62]. Metabolomic profiling of biofertilizer samples enables the monitoring of microbial activity, metabolic diversity, and functional redundancy in microbial consortia involved in biofertilizer production and soil inoculation.

2.4.6 Optimization of Production Processes

Metabolomics guides the optimization of biofertilizer production processes by identifying metabolic bottlenecks, substrate limitations, and fermentation conditions affecting metabolite yields and biofertilizer quality. Metabolomic analysis of fermentation broths, culture supernatants, and microbial biomass enables the optimization of nutrient media, growth conditions, and fermentation parameters to maximize metabolite production and microbial biomass accumulation [63]. These optimizations enhance the nutritional content, microbial activity, and agronomic performance of biofertilizers, leading to increased crop yields, nutrient use efficiency, and soil sustainability.

2.4.7 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the significant advances in metabolomic analysis of biofertilizers, several challenges remain to be addressed to fully realize its potential for agricultural applications. These include the need for standardized protocols, reference databases, and metabolite libraries for metabolomic data interpretation and validation [64]. Moreover, the complexity and diversity of metabolite profiles in biofertilizer samples require advanced analytical techniques, bioinformatics tools, and statistical methods for data integration and interpretation. Additionally, the integration of metabolomic data with other omics datasets, such as genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics, enables systems-level analysis of biofertilizer-microbe-plant interactions and soil ecosystem functioning.

In conclusion, metabolomics offers a powerful approach for analyzing metabolite changes in biofertilizers to evaluate their quality, nutritional content, and microbial activity. By elucidating the metabolomic profile of biofertilizer formulations, metabolomics enables the optimization of production processes, enhancement of nutrient bioavailability, and improvement of plant growth promotion in sustainable agriculture practices.

3.0 Food Waste Valorization Challenges and Opportunities

3.1 Current challenges in food waste management

Food waste management poses significant challenges globally due to its adverse environmental, social, and economic impacts. Despite efforts to reduce food waste, the scale of the problem remains substantial, necessitating comprehensive strategies to address various challenges in food waste prevention, recovery, and recycling. In this elaboration, will explore the current challenges in food waste management and discuss potential solutions to mitigate its adverse effects.

3.1.1 Food Waste Generation

One of the primary challenges in food waste management is the sheer volume of food waste generated at various stages of the food supply chain, from production and processing to distribution and consumption [1]. Globally, it is estimated that approximately one-third of all food produced for human consumption is lost or wasted each year, amounting to nearly 1.3 billion tons [1]. This significant waste not only represents a loss of valuable resources but also exacerbates food insecurity, environmental degradation, and greenhouse gas emissions [65]. Addressing the root causes of food waste generation, such as overproduction, inefficient supply chains, and consumer behavior, is essential to mitigate its adverse impacts [66].

3.1.2 Supply Chain Management

Efficient supply chain management is crucial for reducing food waste throughout the production, processing, distribution, and retailing stages [67]. However, challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, poor storage facilities, and logistical constraints often contribute to food losses and waste along the supply chain [68]. Additionally, unpredictable market demand, fluctuating prices, and seasonal variations further complicate supply chain management and increase the likelihood of food waste. Implementing innovative technologies, such as blockchain, Internet of Things (IoT), and data analytics, can enhance supply chain visibility, optimize inventory management, and minimize food losses.

3.1.3 Consumer Behavior and Awareness

Consumer behavior plays a significant role in food waste generation, with factors such as purchasing habits, meal planning, portion sizes, and food storage practices influencing household food waste [69]. Despite growing awareness of food waste issues, many consumers still lack the knowledge, motivation, and incentives to reduce waste effectively. Moreover, cultural norms, social influences, and marketing strategies often perpetuate wasteful behaviors, contributing to the normalization of food waste in society [70]. Promoting consumer education, behavior change campaigns, and sustainable consumption practices are essential for addressing these challenges and fostering a culture of food waste prevention [71].

3.1.4 Infrastructure and Technology

Inadequate infrastructure and technological limitations pose significant challenges to food waste management, particularly in developing countries and rural areas [72]. Limited access to proper storage facilities, cold chain infrastructure, and waste management systems hinders efforts to minimize food losses and recover edible surplus food [73]. Furthermore, outdated processing technologies, inefficient recycling methods, and lack of investment in waste management infrastructure exacerbate food waste challenges and contribute to environmental pollution [74]. Investing in modern infrastructure, renewable energy technologies, and decentralized waste management systems can improve resource efficiency, reduce environmental impact, and create opportunities for sustainable food waste management.

3.1.5 Policy and Regulation

Effective policy frameworks and regulatory measures are essential for addressing food waste challenges and promoting sustainable food systems [75]. However, inconsistent policies, fragmented regulations, and limited enforcement mechanisms often hinder efforts to tackle food waste at the national and international levels [76]. Moreover, conflicting priorities, political dynamics, and vested interests may impede progress towards establishing comprehensive food waste reduction targets and implementing supportive policies. Strengthening policy coherence, fostering multi-stakeholder partnerships, and adopting evidence-based approaches are critical for advancing food waste reduction agendas and achieving Sustainable Development Goal targets [77].

3.1.6 Economic Incentives and Business Models

Economic incentives and business models play a crucial role in shaping food waste management practices and driving innovation along the value chain. However, challenges such as market distortions, perverse subsidies, and cost externalization often undermine efforts to internalize the true cost of food waste and incentivize sustainable practices [78]. Additionally, traditional linear business models based on "take-make-dispose" paradigms perpetuate wasteful practices and inhibit the transition towards circular economy principles [79]. Implementing economic instruments, such as extended producer responsibility schemes, landfill taxes, and eco-labeling schemes, can internalize environmental costs, incentivize resource conservation, and promote circular business models [80].

In conclusion, food waste management presents multifaceted challenges that require coordinated action from governments, businesses, civil society, and consumers. Addressing the root causes of food waste generation, improving supply chain efficiency, promoting consumer awareness, investing in infrastructure and technology, strengthening policy frameworks, and incentivizing sustainable practices are essential for advancing food waste reduction efforts and building more resilient and sustainable food systems.

3.2 Opportunities Presented by EFB Conversion to Biofertilizer

Empty Fruit Bunches (EFB) are one of the major by-products of the palm oil industry, representing a significant biomass resource with the potential for valorization into value-added products such as biofertilizers. The conversion of EFB into biofertilizer presents numerous opportunities for sustainable waste management, environmental stewardship, and agricultural productivity enhancement. In this elaboration, we will explore the various opportunities offered by EFB conversion to biofertilizer and discuss their implications for sustainable agriculture and circular economy development.

3.2.1 Sustainable Waste Management

The conversion of EFB into biofertilizer offers a sustainable solution for managing palm oil mill waste and reducing environmental pollution. Palm oil mills generate large quantities of EFB, which are often disposed of through open burning or landfilling, leading to air, soil, and water pollution [81]. By converting EFB into biofertilizer through composting, vermicomposting, or microbial fermentation processes, palm oil mills can mitigate environmental impact, minimize greenhouse gas emissions, and comply with environmental regulations [82]. Moreover, the utilization of EFB-derived biofertilizer helps to close the nutrient loop, returning organic matter and essential nutrients to the soil, thereby enhancing soil fertility and promoting sustainable agricultural practices [83].

3.2.2 Nutrient Recycling and Soil Improvement

EFB-derived biofertilizer is rich in organic matter, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and micronutrients, making it an excellent soil conditioner and nutrient source for crops. Biofertilizers derived from EFB contain beneficial microorganisms, such as nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphate-solubilizing fungi, and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, which enhance nutrient availability, root development, and crop yield [84]. By applying EFB biofertilizer to agricultural land, farmers can improve soil structure, increase water retention capacity, and enhance nutrient cycling, leading to improved crop productivity, resilience to environmental stress, and long-term soil fertility. Furthermore, the use of EFB biofertilizer reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers, thereby minimizing nutrient runoff, soil erosion, and water pollution, contributing to sustainable intensification of agriculture [85].

3.2.3 Value-Added Product Development

Converting EFB into biofertilizer represents an opportunity for value-added product development and revenue generation for palm oil mills and agricultural enterprises [86]. EFB-derived biofertilizer can be marketed as an organic, eco-friendly alternative to chemical fertilizers, catering to the growing demand for sustainable agriculture and organic food production. Additionally, EFB biofertilizer can be customized with specific microbial strains, nutrient compositions, and bioactive compounds to target different crops, soil types, and agroecological conditions, offering versatility and flexibility in agricultural applications. By diversifying their product portfolio to include EFB-derived biofertilizer, palm oil mills can enhance their competitiveness, create new revenue streams, and contribute to the circular economy by valorizing waste streams into valuable products [87].

3.2.4 Environmental Benefits and Climate Mitigation

The conversion of EFB into biofertilizer contributes to climate mitigation and environmental sustainability by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, conserving natural resources, and enhancing carbon sequestration in soil. EFB-derived biofertilizer promotes soil organic carbon accumulation, microbial activity, and soil biodiversity, leading to improved soil health and resilience to climate change [87]. Furthermore, the substitution of chemical fertilizers with EFB biofertilizer reduces the carbon footprint of agricultural production, as synthetic fertilizers are energy-intensive to manufacture and transport. By adopting sustainable agricultural practices supported by EFB biofertilizer, farmers can contribute to climate change adaptation and mitigation efforts while enhancing food security, rural livelihoods, and ecosystem resilience [82].

3.2.5 Research and Innovation Opportunities

The conversion of EFB into biofertilizer opens up opportunities for research and innovation in waste valorization, biotechnology, and agricultural science [88]. Researchers and industry stakeholders can collaborate to develop novel bioprocesses, microbial consortia, and formulation techniques for producing high-quality EFB biofertilizer with enhanced nutrient bioavailability and agronomic performance. Moreover, interdisciplinary research efforts can explore the potential synergies between EFB biofertilizer production, bioenergy generation, and biochar production, leading to integrated biorefinery concepts and circular economy solutions. By leveraging advances in biotechnology, genomics, and omics technologies, researchers can unlock the full potential of EFB as a sustainable feedstock for biofertilizer production and value-added product development.

In conclusion, the conversion of EFB into biofertilizer presents numerous opportunities for sustainable waste management, soil improvement, value-added product development, environmental benefits, and research innovation. By harnessing the potential of EFB biofertilizer, stakeholders can contribute to circular economy principles, climate resilience, and sustainable agriculture development, ultimately advancing towards a more sustainable and resilient future.

3.3 Environmental and economic benefits

3.3.1.Environmental Benefits

3.3.1.1 Biodiversity Conservation

Sustainable agricultural practices such as agroforestry, crop rotation, and organic farming promote biodiversity conservation by preserving natural habitats, enhancing soil health, and providing habitat and food sources for diverse plant and animal species [89]. Agro-ecosystems managed sustainably support a wide range of beneficial organisms, including pollinators, predators, and soil microorganisms, contributing to ecosystem resilience and stability [90]. By minimizing chemical inputs, reducing habitat destruction, and maintaining ecological connectivity, sustainable agriculture helps to mitigate biodiversity loss and safeguard ecosystem services essential for human well-being [91].

3.3.1.2 Soil Health and Fertility

Sustainable agricultural practices improve soil health and fertility by enhancing soil structure, organic matter content, and nutrient cycling processes [92]. Practices such as cover cropping, mulching, and crop diversification help to build soil organic carbon, improve water infiltration and retention, and suppress soil-borne diseases and pests [93]. By maintaining soil fertility through natural processes, sustainable agriculture reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers and agrochemicals, mitigating soil erosion, nutrient runoff, and water pollution [94]. Healthy soils support plant growth, regulate water and nutrient availability, and sequester carbon, contributing to climate change mitigation and adaptation [95].

3.3.1.3 Water Resource Management

Sustainable agricultural practices promote efficient water resource management by minimizing water consumption, reducing irrigation demand, and improving water quality [96]. Techniques such as drip irrigation, rainwater harvesting, and water-saving technologies optimize water use efficiency and minimize water wastage in agricultural production systems [97]. By reducing soil erosion, runoff, and sedimentation, sustainable agriculture helps to protect aquatic ecosystems, maintain water quality, and preserve freshwater biodiversity. Moreover, sustainable land management practices, such as agroforestry and riparian buffer zones, help to regulate hydrological cycles, mitigate floods and droughts, and enhance resilience to climate variability.

3.3.1.4 Climate Change Mitigation

Sustainable agricultural practices play a crucial role in climate change mitigation by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, enhancing carbon sequestration, and promoting climate-smart land use strategies [95]. Practices such as conservation tillage, agroforestry, and rotational grazing minimize soil disturbance, promote soil carbon storage, and reduce emissions of nitrous oxide and methane. By sequestering carbon in biomass and soil organic matter, sustainable agriculture helps to offset emissions from agricultural activities and contribute to carbon neutrality [98]. Moreover, sustainable land use practices, such as reforestation and afforestation, contribute to landscape-level carbon sequestration, biodiversity conservation, and ecosystem restoration, enhancing climate resilience and adaptation.

3.3.1.5 Reduced Environmental Footprint

Overall, sustainable agricultural practices result in a reduced environmental footprint compared to conventional farming methods [99]. By minimizing resource inputs, reducing waste generation, and optimizing resource use efficiency, sustainable agriculture reduces environmental pressures on land, water, and air quality [100]. Furthermore, by promoting agroecological principles such as ecological balance, resource efficiency, and resilience, sustainable agriculture fosters harmonious interactions between farming systems and the environment, supporting long-term food security and ecosystem health [101].

3.3.2 Economic Benefits

3.3.2.1 Cost Savings

Sustainable agricultural practices offer cost savings for farmers through reduced input costs, increased productivity, and improved resource use efficiency [102]. Practices such as conservation tillage, integrated pest management, and precision agriculture help to minimize the use of expensive inputs such as fertilizers, pesticides, and fuel, leading to lower production costs and higher profitability [103]. Moreover, by enhancing soil health, optimizing water use, and diversifying income streams, sustainable agriculture reduces farmers' vulnerability to climatic variability, market fluctuations, and input price volatility, improving economic resilience and livelihood security [98].

3.3.2.2 Market Access and Premiums

Adopting sustainable agricultural practices can enhance market access and premium prices for farmers by meeting consumer demand for environmentally friendly and socially responsible products [104]. Certifications such as organic, fair trade, and Rainforest Alliance provide assurance to consumers regarding the sustainability and ethical production practices of agricultural products, enabling farmers to access niche markets and command price premiums [105]. Furthermore, sustainable agriculture initiatives, such as agroecological product branding and eco-labeling schemes, help to differentiate products in competitive markets, build consumer trust, and create value-added opportunities for farmers.

3.3.2.3 Resilience and Risk Management

Sustainable agricultural practices enhance farmers' resilience to environmental shocks, market volatility, and socio-economic challenges. By diversifying crop varieties, income sources, and production systems, sustainable agriculture reduces farmers' exposure to production risks and market uncertainties. Moreover, by building soil organic matter, enhancing biodiversity, and promoting ecosystem services, sustainable agriculture improves the resilience of agroecosystems to climate variability, pests, and diseases, ensuring stable yields and livelihoods for farmers [106].

3.3.2.4 Long-Term Sustainability

Overall, sustainable agriculture promotes long-term economic sustainability by balancing economic viability with environmental stewardship and social equity. By investing in soil health, natural resource management, and ecosystem resilience, sustainable agriculture maintains the productive capacity of agricultural land and ensures the continuity of farming livelihoods for future generations [94]. Furthermore, by fostering inclusive and equitable development, sustainable agriculture promotes social cohesion, poverty alleviation, and rural prosperity, contributing to overall economic well-being and human development [107].

In conclusion, sustainable agricultural practices offer significant environmental and economic benefits by promoting biodiversity conservation, soil health and fertility, water resource management, climate change mitigation, cost savings, market access, resilience, and long-term sustainability. By adopting sustainable farming methods and supporting policies and incentives that prioritize environmental and social outcomes, stakeholders can promote a more resilient, equitable, and sustainable food system.

3.4 Enzymatic Processes Involved in EFB Degradation

Empty Fruit Bunches (EFB) are a significant biomass residue generated from palm oil extraction processes. Effective utilization of EFB requires its degradation into simpler compounds that can be further processed into value-added products such as biofuels, biofertilizers, and biochemicals. Enzymatic degradation of EFB involves a complex interplay of various enzymes produced by microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes. These enzymes act synergistically to break down the complex polymers present in EFB, including cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, into soluble sugars and other metabolites that can be metabolized by microorganisms.

3.4.1 Cellulases

Cellulose, the most abundant component of EFB, is a linear polymer of glucose units linked by β-1,4-glycosidic bonds. Cellulases are a group of enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of cellulose into glucose monomers. These enzymes include endoglucanases, which cleave internal bonds within the cellulose chain; exoglucanases or cellobiohydrolases, which release cellobiose from the ends of cellulose chains; and β-glucosidases, which hydrolyze cellobiose into glucose. Microorganisms such as *Trichoderma reesei, Aspergillus niger*, and *Clostridium thermocellum* are known producers of cellulases and are often employed in industrial processes for EFB degradation [108].

3.4.2 Hemicellulases

Hemicellulose is a heteropolymeric polysaccharide composed of various sugar monomers, including xylose, arabinose, mannose, and galactose, linked by different types of glycosidic bonds. Hemicellulases are enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of hemicellulose into its constituent sugars. These enzymes include xylanases, which degrade the backbone of xylan, the most abundant hemicellulose in EFB, into xylooligosaccharides and xylose monomers [109]. Other hemicellulases, such as mannanases, arabinofuranosidases, and galactosidases, target the side chains of hemicellulose molecules, releasing monosaccharides like mannose, arabinose, and galactose [110]. Microorganisms such as Bacillus subtilis, Aspergillus fumigatus, and Penicillium funiculosum are known producers of hemicellulases and are used in biotechnological applications for EFB degradation [111].

3.4.3 Ligninases

Lignin is a complex phenolic polymer that encrusts cellulose and hemicellulose fibers, providing rigidity and hydrophobicity to plant cell walls. Ligninases are a group of enzymes that catalyze the oxidative depolymerization of lignin, breaking down its aromatic structure into smaller fragments. These enzymes include lignin peroxidases, manganese peroxidases, and laccases, which oxidize the phenolic and non-phenolic components of lignin, generating reactive radicals that cleave lignin bonds [112]. While lignin degradation is less understood compared to cellulose and hemicellulose degradation, ligninolytic fungi such as Phanerochaete chrysosporium, Pleurotus ostreatus, and Ceriporiopsis subvermispora are known to produce ligninases and are studied for their potential in EFB degradation [113].

3.4.4 Esterases and Lipases

In addition to carbohydrates and lignin, EFB also contains lipids and waxes that are embedded within its structure. Esterases and lipases are enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of ester bonds present in lipids, releasing free fatty acids and glycerol. These enzymes play a role in the degradation of lipid-rich fractions of EFB, making them accessible to microbial metabolism [114]. Microorganisms such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Candida rugosa*, and *Rhizopus oryzae* produce esterases and lipases and are potential candidates for EFB degradation.

3.4.5 Proteases

Proteins constitute a minor component of EFB but may still contribute to its recalcitrance by forming cross-links with polysaccharides and lignin. Proteases are enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of peptide bonds in proteins, breaking them down into amino acids and peptides. While not directly involved in carbohydrate degradation, proteases play a role in the degradation of proteinaceous fractions of EFB, releasing nitrogenous compounds that serve as nitrogen sources for microbial growth [115]. Microorganisms such as *Bacillus subtilis, Aspergillus oryzae*, and *Neurospora crassa* produce proteases and are studied for their potential in EFB degradation [116].

In conclusion, enzymatic degradation of EFB involves a diverse array of enzymes produced by microorganisms, including cellulases, hemicellulases, ligninases, esterases, lipases, and proteases. These enzymes act synergistically to break down the complex polymers present in EFB into simpler compounds that can be metabolized by microorganisms for energy and growth. Understanding the enzymatic processes involved in EFB degradation is essential for the development of efficient biotechnological processes for EFB utilization and valorization.

3.5 Microbial communities and their role in biofertilizer production

3.5.1 Microbial Communities in Biofertilizer Production

Biofertilizers are eco-friendly alternatives to chemical fertilizers, consisting of living microorganisms that enhance soil fertility and plant growth through various mechanisms such as nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and production of plant growth-promoting substances. The successful production of biofertilizers relies on the composition and activity of microbial communities, which play a crucial role in nutrient transformation, organic matter decomposition, and soil ecosystem functioning. Understanding the dynamics of microbial communities and their interactions is essential for optimizing biofertilizer production processes and improving their efficacy in sustainable agriculture [117].

3.5.1.1 Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria

Nitrogen is an essential nutrient for plant growth, and its availability often limits crop productivity in agricultural systems. Nitrogen-fixing bacteria, particularly species of the genera *Rhizobium, Azotobacter,* and *Azospirillum*, play a vital role in biofertilizer production by converting atmospheric nitrogen (N_2) into ammonia (NH_3) or other organic nitrogen compounds that can be assimilated by plants. These bacteria form symbiotic associations with leguminous plants or free-living associations with non-leguminous plants, contributing to nitrogen enrichment in the soil and promoting plant growth and yield [118]. The effectiveness of nitrogen-fixing bacteria in biofertilizer production depends on factors such as inoculum concentration, compatibility with host plants, and environmental conditions [119].

3.5.1.2 Phosphate-Solubilizing Microorganisms

Phosphorus is another essential nutrient for plant growth, but its availability is often limited in soil due to its low solubility and fixation by minerals. Phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms, including bacteria such as *Bacillus, Pseudomonas*, and *Enterobacter*, and fungi such as *Aspergillus, Penicillium*, and *Trichoderma*, play a crucial role in biofertilizer production by solubilizing insoluble phosphorus compounds and making them available to plants [120]. These microorganisms produce organic acids, enzymes (e.g., phosphatases), and siderophores that release phosphorus from soil minerals, such as apatite and iron phosphate, and enhance its uptake by plants [121]. Phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms can also improve soil fertility and plant growth through indirect mechanisms, such as nutrient cycling, disease suppression, and root colonization.

3.5.1.3 Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR)

Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) are a diverse group of soil bacteria that colonize the rhizosphere and promote plant growth through various mechanisms, including nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, production of phytohormones (e.g., auxins, cytokinins), and induction of systemic resistance against pathogens [122]. PGPR play a crucial role in biofertilizer production by enhancing nutrient availability, improving soil structure, and protecting plants from biotic and abiotic stresses [118]. Examples of PGPR commonly used in biofertilizers include species of *Bacillus, Pseudomonas,* and *Azospirillum*, which have been shown to enhance crop productivity and reduce the need for chemical inputs in agricultural systems [117].

3.5.1.4 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF)

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) form symbiotic associations with the roots of most terrestrial plants and play a crucial role in nutrient uptake, water absorption, and stress tolerance (Smith & Read, 2008). AMF hyphae extend into the soil, increasing the root surface area and facilitating the uptake of nutrients, particularly phosphorus, which is transported to the host plant in exchange for photosynthates [123]. AMF also enhance soil aggregation, improve soil structure, and stimulate microbial activity, contributing to soil fertility and ecosystem functioning. Incorporating AMF into biofertilizers can improve plant nutrient acquisition, enhance crop yield and quality, and reduce fertilizer inputs and environmental impacts in agricultural systems [124].

3.5.1.5 Complementary Microbial Consortia

In addition to individual microbial species, the composition and interactions of microbial consortia play a crucial role in biofertilizer production and efficacy [117]. Microbial consortia consisting of multiple species of nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms, PGPR, and AMF can synergistically enhance nutrient availability, promote plant growth, and suppress plant pathogens [118]. The selection and optimization of microbial consortia for biofertilizer production require a thorough understanding of microbial ecology, community dynamics, and functional redundancy in soil ecosystems [125]. Integrating multiple microbial species with complementary functions into biofertilizer formulations can enhance their resilience, stability, and effectiveness in diverse agroecosystems [126].

3.5.2 Environmental Factors Influencing Microbial Communities

Environmental factors such as soil type, pH, moisture, temperature, and organic matter content influence the composition, diversity, and activity of microbial communities in soil [127]. Optimal conditions for microbial growth and activity vary among different microbial groups, highlighting the importance of site-specific management practices in biofertilizer production [128]. Soil amendments such as organic matter, compost, and biochar can enhance microbial biomass, diversity, and activity, providing a favorable environment for biofertilizer inoculants to establish and function effectively. Understanding the interactions between microbial communities and environmental factors is essential for developing sustainable biofertilizer production strategies that maximize nutrient cycling, improve soil health, and enhance crop productivity in diverse agroecosystems [126].

In conclusion, microbial communities play a crucial role in biofertilizer production by enhancing nutrient availability, promoting plant growth, and improving soil fertility and ecosystem functioning. Nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms, PGPR, AMF, and complementary microbial consortia contribute to the efficacy of biofertilizers in sustainable agriculture. Understanding the dynamics of microbial communities and their interactions with environmental factors is essential for optimizing biofertilizer production processes and improving their effectiveness in diverse agroecosystems.

3.6 Metabolic Pathways Influencing Nutrient Enrichment

Nutrient enrichment, the process by which essential nutrients are made available to plants for uptake and utilization, is a fundamental aspect of soil fertility and agricultural productivity. Metabolic pathways play a crucial role in nutrient enrichment by facilitating the transformation, mobilization, and cycling of nutrients in soil ecosystems. Understanding the metabolic pathways involved in nutrient enrichment is essential for optimizing agricultural practices, improving soil fertility, and enhancing crop yield and quality (Richardson et al., 2009).

3.6.1 Nitrogen Metabolism

Nitrogen is a primary nutrient required for plant growth and development, and its availability often limits crop productivity in agricultural systems. Metabolic pathways involved in nitrogen metabolism, including nitrogen fixation, mineralization, nitrification, and denitrification, play a crucial role in nutrient enrichment by converting atmospheric nitrogen (N_2) into biologically available forms such as ammonia (NH_3), nitrate (NO^{3-}), and nitrite (NO^{2-}) [129]. Nitrogen-fixing bacteria, such as species of Rhizobium, Azotobacter, and Azospirillum, utilize the enzyme nitrogenase to catalyze the reduction of atmospheric nitrogen into ammonia, which can be assimilated by plants or converted into other nitrogenous compounds through microbial activity [130]. Nitrifying bacteria, such as Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter, oxidize ammonia to nitrite and nitrate, respectively, making nitrogen available for plant uptake [129]. Denitrifying bacteria, such as Pseudomonas and Bacillus, reduce nitrate to nitrogen gas (N_2) or nitrous oxide (N_2O), releasing nitrogen back into the atmosphere and completing the nitrogen cycle [129].

3.6.2 Phosphorus Metabolism

Phosphorus is another essential nutrient for plant growth, playing a crucial role in energy transfer, photosynthesis, and nucleic acid synthesis. Metabolic pathways involved in phosphorus metabolism, including phosphate solubilization, mineralization, and immobilization, influence phosphorus availability and cycling in soil ecosystems [129]. Phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms, such as bacteria of the genera Bacillus, Pseudomonas, and Rhizobium, produce organic acids, enzymes (e.g., phosphatases), and siderophores that solubilize insoluble phosphorus compounds and release phosphorus into the soil solution, making it available for plant uptake [131]. Mineralization of organic phosphorus by microbial activity releases phosphate ions from organic matter, further contributing to phosphorus enrichment in soil [129]. Immobilization of phosphorus by soil microorganisms, such as fungi and bacteria, involves the uptake and storage of phosphate ions in microbial biomass, temporarily reducing phosphorus availability for plant uptake [129]. Understanding the dynamics of phosphorus metabolism and microbial interactions is essential for managing phosphorus fertility and optimizing phosphorus use efficiency in agricultural systems [129].

3.6.3 Sulfur Metabolism

Sulfur is an essential nutrient required for protein synthesis, enzyme activation, and secondary metabolite production in plants. Metabolic pathways involved in sulfur metabolism, including sulfur assimilation, sulfate reduction, and sulfur oxidation, influence sulfur availability and cycling in soil ecosystems [132]. Sulfur assimilation in plants involves the uptake of sulfate ions $[SO_4]^{2-}$from the soil solution and their conversion into organic sulfur compounds, such as cysteine and methionine, through a series of enzymatic reactions [132]. Sulfate-reducing bacteria, such as Desulfovibrio and Desulfobacter, utilize sulfate as a terminal electron acceptor during anaerobic respiration, reducing sulfate to hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) and releasing sulfur back into the soil environment [132]. Sulfur-oxidizing bacteria, such as *Thiobacillus* and *Beggiatoa*, oxidize reduced sulfur compounds (e.g., H_2S, elemental sulfur) to sulfate, completing the sulfur cycle and maintaining sulfur availability in soil ecosystems [132]. Understanding the regulation of sulfur metabolism and the role of sulfur-transforming microorganisms is essential for optimizing sulfur nutrition and sulfur cycling in agricultural systems [132].

3.6.4 Carbon Metabolism

Carbon is a fundamental element for microbial growth and activity in soil ecosystems, serving as a source of energy and carbon for heterotrophic microorganisms. Metabolic pathways involved in carbon metabolism, including organic matter decomposition, respiration, and carbon fixation, influence nutrient cycling and soil organic matter dynamics [133]. Heterotrophic microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi, utilize complex organic compounds, such as cellulose, lignin, and carbohydrates, as carbon sources, decomposing organic matter and releasing nutrients into the soil solution [133]. Aerobic respiration of organic carbon compounds by soil microorganisms generates energy (ATP) and carbon dioxide (CO_2), contributing to soil respiration and carbon cycling in soil ecosystems [133]. Autotrophic microorganisms, such as chemolithotrophic bacteria and archaea, utilize inorganic carbon sources, such as carbon dioxide or carbonate ions, for carbon fixation through the Calvin-Benson cycle or the reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle, contributing to carbon sequestration and soil organic matter formation (Schimel & Schaeffer, 2012). Understanding the dynamics of carbon metabolism and microbial interactions is essential for managing soil organic matter, nutrient cycling, and greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural systems [133].

3.6.5 Microbial Interactions and Synergies

Microbial interactions and synergies play a crucial role in nutrient enrichment by facilitating the transformation, mobilization, and cycling of nutrients in soil ecosystems [134]. Cooperative interactions among nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms, and sulfur-transforming microorganisms enhance nutrient availability and cycling in soil, promoting plant growth and productivity [134]. Cross-feeding interactions among different microbial groups, such as mycorrhizal fungi supplying carbon to nitrogen-fixing bacteria or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria enhancing phosphorus availability for mycorrhizal fungi, contribute to nutrient exchange and ecosystem functioning in soil [134]. Understanding the dynamics of microbial interactions and synergies is essential for optimizing nutrient enrichment processes and improving soil fertility and crop yield in agricultural systems [134].

In conclusion, metabolic pathways play a crucial role in nutrient enrichment by facilitating the transformation, mobilization, and cycling of essential nutrients in soil ecosystems. Nitrogen metabolism, phosphorus metabolism, sulfur metabolism, carbon metabolism, and microbial interactions influence nutrient availability and cycling in soil, promoting plant growth and productivity. Understanding the dynamics of metabolic pathways and microbial interactions is essential for optimizing nutrient enrichment processes, improving soil fertility, and enhancing crop yield and quality in sustainable agriculture.

4.1 Standardizing Biofertilizer Quality Parameters

Biofertilizers, derived from living microorganisms, are increasingly recognized as sustainable alternatives to chemical fertilizers for enhancing soil fertility and promoting plant growth. However, the quality of biofertilizers can vary widely depending on factors such as microbial composition, production processes, and storage conditions. Standardizing biofertilizer quality parameters is essential for ensuring consistency, efficacy, and safety in agricultural applications. By establishing standardized quality parameters, stakeholders can assess the performance and reliability of biofertilizers, promote quality control measures, and facilitate market acceptance and regulatory compliance.

4.1.1 Microbial Composition

The microbial composition of biofertilizers is a critical determinant of their efficacy and performance in agricultural systems. Standardizing microbial composition parameters, including species diversity, population density, and viability, ensures the presence of beneficial microorganisms and the absence of harmful pathogens or contaminants [135]. Methods such as culture-dependent techniques (e.g., plate counting, microscopy) and culture-independent techniques (e.g., molecular biology, metagenomics) can be used to quantify and characterize microbial populations in biofertilizers, providing valuable information on microbial composition and functionality [136]. Standardized protocols for microbial isolation, identification, and enumeration are essential for comparing biofertilizer products, evaluating their efficacy, and ensuring microbial safety and stability.

4.1.2 Nutrient Content

The nutrient content of biofertilizers, including nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and micronutrients, is a key factor influencing their fertilization capacity and agronomic performance. Standardizing nutrient content parameters, such as nutrient concentration, solubility, and bioavailability, ensures consistent nutrient delivery to plants and optimal nutrient utilization efficiency [137]. Analytical techniques such as chemical analysis, spectroscopy, and chromatography can be used to quantify and characterize nutrient content in biofertilizers, providing accurate and reliable information on nutrient composition and concentration [135]. Standardized methods for nutrient analysis and quality control are essential for verifying nutrient claims, meeting regulatory requirements, and ensuring consumer confidence in biofertilizer products.

4.1.3 Contaminant Levels

Contaminants such as pathogens, heavy metals, and chemical residues can compromise the safety and efficacy of biofertilizers, posing risks to human health, environmental quality, and agricultural sustainability. Standardizing contaminant level parameters, including maximum allowable limits and detection methods, ensures the absence of harmful contaminants and the compliance with safety regulations and quality standards [136]. Quality control measures such as microbial testing, chemical analysis, and toxicity assessments can be used to evaluate contaminant levels in biofertilizers, identifying potential hazards and mitigating risks to users and the environment. Standardized protocols for contaminant testing and quality assurance are essential for ensuring product safety, protecting public health, and promoting market acceptance of biofertilizers [135].

4.1.4 Shelf Life and Storage Stability

The shelf life and storage stability of biofertilizers are critical considerations for product viability, usability, and marketability. Standardizing shelf life and storage stability parameters, including storage conditions, packaging materials, and expiration dates, ensures product integrity and efficacy throughout the distribution chain and storage period. Accelerated aging tests, stability studies, and field trials can be used to assess the shelf life and storage stability of biofertilizers under various environmental conditions, providing valuable data on product performance and longevity [136]. Standardized guidelines for storage and handling are essential for minimizing product degradation, preserving microbial viability, and maximizing shelf life and storage stability [137].

4.1.5 Field Performance and Agronomic Efficacy

The field performance and agronomic efficacy of biofertilizers are ultimately determined by their ability to improve soil fertility, promote plant growth, and enhance crop yield and quality. Standardizing field performance and agronomic efficacy parameters, including plant growth parameters, nutrient uptake efficiency, and yield responses, ensures the reliability and consistency of biofertilizer products under real-world conditions [135]. Field trials, controlled experiments, and long-term monitoring studies can be used to evaluate the field performance and agronomic efficacy of biofertilizers across different crops, soil types, and environmental conditions, providing valuable insights into their potential benefits and limitations. Standardized protocols for field testing and performance evaluation are essential for generating scientifically sound data, validating product claims, and informing agronomic practices and recommendations [137].

In conclusion, standardizing biofertilizer quality parameters is essential for ensuring consistency, efficacy, and safety in agricultural applications. By establishing standardized parameters for microbial composition, nutrient content, contaminant levels, shelf life and storage stability, and field performance and agronomic efficacy, stakeholders can assess the quality and reliability of biofertilizers, promote quality control measures, and facilitate market acceptance and regulatory compliance. Standardization efforts require collaboration among industry stakeholders, regulatory agencies, research institutions, and standardization bodies to develop and implement standardized methods, guidelines, and certification schemes for biofertilizer quality assurance and quality control.

4.2 Analytical Techniques for Assessing Nutrient Content

Assessing the nutrient content of various materials, such as soil, plants, and fertilizers, is crucial for understanding nutrient dynamics in agricultural systems, optimizing fertilization practices, and maximizing crop productivity. Analytical techniques play a pivotal role in quantifying the concentration, availability, and forms of essential nutrients, including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), and micronutrients. This comprehensive review explores a range of analytical techniques commonly used for assessing nutrient content, including classical methods, spectroscopic techniques, chromatographic methods, and molecular techniques. Each technique offers unique advantages and limitations in terms of sensitivity, accuracy, cost, and applicability, and their selection depends on factors such as sample type, nutrient of interest, and analytical objectives.

4.2.1 Classical Methods

Classical methods for nutrient analysis, such as gravimetric, titrimetric, and colorimetric assays, have been widely used for decades due to their simplicity, affordability, and reliability. Gravimetric methods involve the precipitation and weighing of analytes as insoluble compounds, such as ammonium magnesium phosphate for phosphorus analysis, providing accurate and precise results [138]. Titrimetric methods rely on chemical reactions between analytes and titrants, such as the Kjeldahl method for nitrogen determination, which measures total nitrogen content by converting organic nitrogen to ammonium ions followed by titration with a standardized solution [139]. Colorimetric methods utilize color reactions between analytes and reagents, such as the molybdenum blue method for phosphorus determination, which forms a blue complex in the presence of orthophosphate ions, enabling quantification via spectrophotometry [140]. While classical methods are robust and well-established, they often require time-consuming sample preparation, lack specificity for individual nutrient forms, and may underestimate or overestimate nutrient content in complex matrices [139].

4.2.2 Spectroscopic Techniques

Spectroscopic techniques, including atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), offer rapid, sensitive, and multi-elemental analysis of nutrient content in various sample matrices. AAS measures the absorption of light by analyte atoms at characteristic wavelengths, enabling the quantification of elements such as potassium, calcium, and magnesium in soil extracts or plant tissues [141]. ICP-OES and ICP-MS utilize high-temperature plasma sources to atomize and ionize analytes, allowing for simultaneous or sequential determination of multiple elements at trace levels. These techniques are widely used for elemental analysis in environmental, agricultural, and biological samples due to their high throughput, low detection limits, and wide dynamic range [141]. However, spectroscopic techniques require expensive instrumentation, skilled operators, and rigorous quality control procedures to ensure data accuracy and reliability.

4.2.3 Chromatographic Methods

Chromatographic methods, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography (GC), are employed for the separation, identification, and quantification of individual nutrient compounds in complex mixtures. HPLC separates analytes based on their interactions with a stationary phase and a mobile phase, allowing for the analysis of amino acids, vitamins, and organic acids in soil extracts or plant extracts [142]. GC separates volatile or semi-volatile analytes based on their vaporization and partitioning between a stationary phase and a mobile phase, enabling the analysis of volatile fatty acids, sugars, and carbohydrates in fermentation products or compost samples. Chromatographic methods offer high resolution, sensitivity, and specificity for targeted compound analysis, making them suitable for identifying nutrient forms and metabolites in complex matrices [142]. However, chromatographic methods require specialized equipment, consumables, and expertise, and may be time-consuming and labor-intensive for routine analysis.

4.2.4 Molecular Techniques

Molecular techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR), are utilized for the detection and quantification of microbial genes associated with nutrient cycling and transformation in soil ecosystems. PCR amplifies specific DNA sequences using DNA polymerase enzymes and primers, enabling the detection of functional genes involved in nitrogen fixation, nitrification, denitrification, and phosphate solubilization [143]. qPCR quantifies DNA amplification in real-time, allowing for the absolute or relative quantification of microbial populations in soil samples or biofertilizer formulations [144]. Molecular techniques provide insights into microbial community composition, diversity, and activity, facilitating the assessment of nutrient cycling processes and microbial contributions to soil fertility and plant nutrition [143]. However, molecular techniques require specialized reagents, equipment, and bioinformatics tools, and may be subject to bias, contamination, and variability in complex environmental samples [144].

4.2.5 Integrated Approaches

Integrated approaches combining multiple analytical techniques, such as spectroscopy coupled with chemometrics, chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, and molecular techniques combined with stable isotope probing, offer synergistic advantages for comprehensive nutrient analysis and metabolic profiling in agricultural systems. Spectroscopy coupled with chemometrics allows for rapid and non-destructive analysis of soil properties and nutrient status, enabling high-throughput screening of soil samples for nutrient deficiencies or imbalances. Chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry enables the identification and quantification of nutrient compounds and metabolites in complex matrices, providing valuable insights into nutrient cycling and transformation pathways [145]. Molecular techniques combined with stable isotope probing allow for the identification and quantification of active microbial populations involved in nutrient cycling processes, elucidating microbial contributions to soil fertility and plant nutrition [146]. Integrated approaches enhance the sensitivity, specificity, and comprehensiveness of nutrient analysis, offering valuable tools for understanding nutrient dynamics and optimizing fertilization strategies in agricultural systems.

In conclusion, a wide range of analytical techniques are available for assessing nutrient content in agricultural samples, each offering unique advantages and limitations in terms of sensitivity, accuracy, cost, and applicability. By selecting appropriate analytical techniques based on sample type, nutrient of interest, and analytical objectives, researchers and practitioners can obtain reliable and meaningful data for optimizing fertilization practices, managing soil fertility, and maximizing crop productivity in sustainable agriculture.

4.3 Regulatory considerations and certifications

4.3.1 Regulatory Considerations and Certifications in Agriculture

In modern agriculture, regulatory frameworks and certifications play a crucial role in ensuring food safety, environmental protection, and consumer confidence. Regulatory agencies establish standards, guidelines, and requirements to govern the production, distribution, and use of agricultural inputs, including fertilizers, pesticides, and genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Certifications provide third-party verification of compliance with regulatory requirements and voluntary standards, demonstrating adherence to best practices, sustainability principles, and quality assurance measures. This comprehensive review explores the regulatory considerations and certifications relevant to various aspects of agriculture, including inputs, practices, and products, and examines their implications for stakeholders, including farmers, manufacturers, retailers, and consumers.

4.3.2 Regulatory Frameworks for Agricultural Inputs

Regulatory frameworks for agricultural inputs, such as fertilizers, pesticides, and GMOs, are established by government agencies to protect human health, environmental quality, and economic interests. In the United States, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) regulate the use of pesticides, food additives, and biotechnology products, respectively [147]. The EPA sets tolerances for pesticide residues in food and water, conducts risk assessments to evaluate pesticide safety, and registers pesticides for use based on scientific data and risk mitigation measures [147]. The FDA regulates the safety and labeling of food additives, including fertilizers, and ensures compliance with good manufacturing practices [148]. The USDA oversees the regulation of GMOs, including field trials, environmental assessments, and commercialization approvals, under the Coordinated Framework for Biotechnology [149].

4.3.3 Certification Programs for Agricultural Practices

Certification programs for agricultural practices, such as organic farming, sustainable agriculture, and fair trade, provide third-party verification of compliance with standards and principles related to environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and economic viability. The National Organic Program (NOP) in the United States certifies organic farming practices based on USDA organic standards, which prohibit the use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and GMOs, and require adherence to soil health and biodiversity conservation practices [149]. The Rainforest Alliance and Fair Trade USA certify sustainable agriculture practices, including integrated pest management, soil conservation, and worker welfare standards, in compliance with sustainability criteria and fair labor practices [150, 151]. These certification programs enable farmers to differentiate their products in the marketplace, access premium markets, and meet consumer demand for environmentally and socially responsible products [150, 151].

4.3.4 Product Labeling and Marketing Claims

Product labeling and marketing claims in agriculture are regulated to prevent deceptive practices, ensure accurate information disclosure, and protect consumer interests. The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) in the United States enforces truth-in-advertising laws and guidelines to prevent false or misleading claims about agricultural products, including fertilizers, pesticides, and food products [152]. The USDA's Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) administers voluntary labeling programs, such as the USDA Organic Seal and the Non-GMO Project Verified Seal, to certify compliance with organic standards and GMO avoidance practices, respectively [149]. The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) oversees labeling requirements for meat, poultry, and egg products, ensuring accurate labeling of ingredients, nutritional information, and country-of-origin labeling [149]. Regulatory agencies conduct surveillance, inspections, and enforcement actions to verify compliance with labeling regulations and address violations to protect consumer trust and confidence in agricultural products [149, 152].

4.3.5 International Harmonization and Trade Agreements

International harmonization and trade agreements in agriculture aim to facilitate trade, promote market access, and ensure regulatory coherence among trading partners. The Codex Alimentarius Commission, jointly established by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO), develops international food standards, guidelines, and codes of practice to protect consumer health and facilitate fair trade practices [153]. The World Trade Organization (WTO) oversees trade negotiations and dispute resolution mechanisms to address trade barriers, tariffs, and non-tariff measures affecting agricultural products. Regional trade agreements, such as the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the European Union (EU) Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), harmonize regulatory standards and facilitate market integration among member countries, promoting economic cooperation and competitiveness in the global marketplace [154, 155].

4.3.6 Emerging Regulatory Challenges and Opportunities

Emerging regulatory challenges and opportunities in agriculture include addressing new technologies, evolving consumer preferences, and global sustainability goals. The rise of gene editing technologies, such as CRISPR-Cas9, presents regulatory challenges in distinguishing between genetic modifications and conventional breeding techniques and ensuring the safety and efficacy of gene-edited crops [156]. Consumer demand for transparency, traceability, and ethical sourcing is driving the adoption of blockchain technology and digital platforms for supply chain management and product authentication, creating opportunities for enhanced regulatory oversight and market transparency [157]. Global sustainability goals, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Paris Agreement on Climate Change, require coordinated action and regulatory alignment to promote sustainable agriculture, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and enhance resilience to climate change impacts [158, 159].

In conclusion, regulatory considerations and certifications play a critical role in ensuring food safety, environmental protection, and consumer confidence in agriculture. By establishing regulatory frameworks, certification programs, and international agreements, stakeholders can promote compliance with standards, enhance market access, and foster sustainable agricultural practices. Emerging regulatory challenges and opportunities require proactive measures to address new technologies, consumer preferences, and global sustainability goals, ensuring the continued viability and resilience of agriculture in the face of evolving challenges and opportunities.

5.0 Integrated Omics Approaches for Optimization

5.1 Strategies for Combining Genomics, Transcriptomics, Proteomics, and Metabolomics

In recent years, advancements in high-throughput sequencing and omics technologies have revolutionized our understanding of biological systems at the molecular level. Genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics provide complementary insights into the structure, function, and regulation of genes, transcripts, proteins, and metabolites, respectively. Integrating multi-omics data enables researchers to gain a comprehensive understanding of complex biological processes, identify biomarkers, and unravel molecular mechanisms underlying physiological and pathological conditions. This review explores strategies for combining genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics to elucidate biological networks, discover novel targets, and accelerate biomarker discovery in various fields, including medicine, agriculture, and environmental science.

5.1.1 Data Integration and Systems Biology Approaches

One strategy for combining multi-omics data is through data integration and systems biology approaches, which aim to integrate and analyze omics datasets to elucidate biological networks and pathways. By leveraging computational tools and algorithms, researchers can integrate genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics data to identify key molecular players, regulatory interactions, and functional modules within biological systems [160]. For example, network-based approaches such as weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) can be used to construct co-expression networks and identify modules of genes, transcripts, proteins, and metabolites that are functionally related and co-regulated [161]. Integration of multi-omics data using systems biology approaches enables researchers to unravel complex biological processes, predict novel gene functions, and prioritize candidate biomarkers for further validation [160].

5.1.2 Pathway and Functional Enrichment Analysis

Another strategy for combining multi-omics data is through pathway and functional enrichment analysis, which involves annotating omics datasets with biological pathways, functions, and annotations to identify enriched terms and uncover biological insights. By mapping genes, transcripts, proteins, and metabolites to pathway databases such as Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Ontology (GO), researchers can identify overrepresented pathways, biological processes, and molecular functions associated with specific conditions or phenotypes [162, 163]. For example, pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes, proteins, and metabolites can reveal dysregulated pathways and biological functions underlying disease states, drug responses, and environmental exposures [164]. Integration of pathway and functional enrichment analysis across multiple omics datasets provides a holistic view of biological processes and enables researchers to prioritize candidate biomarkers and therapeutic targets for further investigation [162].

5.1.3 Multi-Omics Data Fusion and Machine Learning

A third strategy for combining multi-omics data is through data fusion and machine learning approaches, which involve integrating and analyzing omics datasets using statistical and machine learning algorithms to predict phenotypes, classify samples, and discover novel associations. By combining genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics data, researchers can build multi-omics predictive models that capture the complexity and heterogeneity of biological systems [165]. Machine learning algorithms such as random forests, support vector machines, and deep learning networks can be trained on integrated omics data to predict clinical outcomes, identify disease subtypes, and stratify patient populations based on molecular signatures [166, 167]. Integration of multi-omics data using machine learning approaches enables researchers to uncover hidden patterns, extract meaningful features, and develop diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic tools for precision medicine applications [165].

5.1.4 Longitudinal and Multi-Modal Data Analysis

A fourth strategy for combining multi-omics data is through longitudinal and multi-modal data analysis, which involves integrating omics datasets collected over time or across different experimental conditions to capture dynamic changes and interactions within biological systems. By profiling genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics data longitudinally or in response to specific perturbations, researchers can elucidate temporal patterns, regulatory dynamics, and adaptive responses underlying physiological processes and disease progression [168]. Integration of longitudinal and multi-modal omics data enables researchers to uncover causal relationships, infer regulatory networks, and identify biomarkers with predictive value for monitoring disease progression, treatment response, and patient outcomes [169].

Conclusion, combining genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics holds great promise for advancing our understanding of complex biological systems and accelerating biomarker discovery in various fields. Strategies such as data integration, pathway analysis, machine learning, and longitudinal analysis enable researchers to harness the power of multi-omics data to unravel molecular mechanisms, predict phenotypes, and develop personalized interventions. By leveraging the complementary insights provided by genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, researchers can address key challenges in medicine, agriculture, and environmental science, paving the way for precision diagnostics, targeted therapies, and sustainable solutions to global health and environmental challenges.

5.2 Data Integration and Systems Biology for Process Optimization

In various fields such as biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, and industrial bioprocessing, the optimization of biological processes is crucial for improving product yields, reducing production costs, and enhancing process robustness. Data integration and systems biology approaches play a pivotal role in optimizing biological processes by combining multi-omics data, mathematical modeling, and computational analysis to unravel complex biological networks, identify key regulators, and design targeted interventions. This review explores the application of data integration and systems biology for process optimization in different industries, highlighting case studies and success stories where these approaches have been successfully implemented to achieve significant improvements in process efficiency, productivity, and sustainability.

5.2.1 Integration of Multi-Omics Data

One of the key strategies for process optimization is the integration of multi-omics data, including genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, to gain a comprehensive understanding of biological systems and their responses to different conditions or interventions. By combining omics datasets generated from different experimental platforms and analytical techniques, researchers can capture a holistic view of cellular processes, identify biomarkers, and elucidate molecular mechanisms underlying process variability [170]. For example, in bioprocessing applications such as microbial fermentation or cell culture, integrating multi-omics data enables researchers to monitor gene expression, protein abundance, and metabolite profiles in real-time, providing insights into metabolic pathways, regulatory networks, and metabolic flux distributions [171]. Integration of multi-omics data also facilitates the identification of key metabolic bottlenecks, pathway engineering targets, and media optimization strategies for improving product yields and quality in bioproduction processes [170].

5.2.2 Mathematical Modeling and Computational Analysis

Another important aspect of process optimization is the use of mathematical modeling and computational analysis to simulate, predict, and optimize biological processes at a systems level. By developing mathematical models based on experimental data and biochemical knowledge, researchers can simulate the behavior of biological systems under different conditions, predict optimal process parameters, and design strategies for process optimization [172]. For example, kinetic models of metabolic pathways can be used to simulate the dynamics of cellular metabolism, predict the effects of genetic modifications or environmental perturbations, and optimize bioreactor operation parameters such as nutrient feed rates, pH, and dissolved oxygen levels [172]. Computational tools such as flux balance analysis (FBA), dynamic flux balance analysis (dFBA), and genome-scale metabolic modeling (GSMM) enable researchers to analyze large-scale metabolic networks, identify metabolic bottlenecks, and design rational strategies for pathway engineering and strain optimization [174]. Integration of mathematical modeling and computational analysis with experimental data allows for iterative cycle of model refinement, experimental validation, and process optimization, leading to improved understanding and control of biological processes [172].

5.2.3 Optimization of Bioproduction Processes

In the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, process optimization is critical for maximizing the production of biotherapeutics, vaccines, and industrial enzymes while minimizing production costs and ensuring product quality and safety. Data integration and systems biology approaches are increasingly being applied to optimize bioproduction processes, from strain engineering and fermentation optimization to downstream processing and product purification. For example, in the production of recombinant proteins in microbial hosts such as *Escherichia coli* or yeast, integration of genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics data enables researchers to identify genetic targets, optimize expression systems, and engineer production strains with improved productivity and product quality [175]. Mathematical modeling and computational analysis are used to simulate metabolic flux distributions, optimize bioreactor operation parameters, and design feeding strategies for maximizing product yields and minimizing byproduct formation [176]. Integration of experimental data with mathematical models allows for real-time monitoring and control of bioproduction processes, enabling rapid adaptation to changing conditions and optimization of process performance.

5.2.4 Case Studies and Success Stories

Numerous case studies and success stories demonstrate the effectiveness of data integration and systems biology approaches for process optimization in various industries. For example, in the production of biofuels and biochemicals from renewable feedstocks, integration of multi-omics data with metabolic modeling has enabled researchers to identify metabolic engineering targets, optimize fermentation conditions, and improve product yields and titers [177]. In the pharmaceutical industry, integration of omics data with computational modeling has facilitated the design of cell culture media, optimization of bioreactor operation parameters, and development of continuous manufacturing processes for the production of biologics and vaccines [178]. In industrial biocatalysis, integration of genomics and proteomics data with enzyme engineering and process optimization has led to the development of novel biocatalysts with improved activity, stability, and substrate specificity for various synthetic applications [179].

Conclusion, data integration and systems biology approaches hold great promise for process optimization in biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, and industrial bioprocessing. By integrating multi-omics data, mathematical modeling, and computational analysis, researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of biological systems, identify key regulators, and design targeted interventions for improving process efficiency, productivity, and sustainability. Case studies and success stories demonstrate the transformative impact of data integration and systems biology on bioproduction processes, from strain engineering and fermentation optimization to downstream processing and product purification. By leveraging these approaches, industries can accelerate the development and commercialization of biotherapeutics, vaccines, and industrial enzymes, leading to more sustainable and efficient production processes.

5.3 Future Directions in Research and Development

As we move forward into the future, the landscape of research and development (R&D) is poised for significant evolution and transformation. Emerging technologies, shifting societal priorities, and global challenges are driving innovation in diverse fields, from healthcare and biotechnology to energy and sustainability. This review explores key trends and future directions in R&D, highlighting emerging areas of research, novel methodologies, and transformative technologies that have the potential to shape the future of science and technology.

5.3.1 Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Convergence

One of the prominent trends in future R&D is the increasing emphasis on interdisciplinary collaboration and convergence across traditional scientific disciplines. As complex challenges require multifaceted solutions, researchers are breaking down silos and collaborating across disciplines such as biology, engineering, computer science, and social sciences to tackle pressing issues [180]. For example, in the field of synthetic biology, engineers, biologists, and computer scientists are working together to design and construct novel biological systems with applications in healthcare, agriculture, and environmental remediation [181]. Similarly, in the realm of materials science, physicists, chemists, and engineers are collaborating to develop advanced materials with tailored properties for applications in energy storage, electronics, and healthcare. Interdisciplinary collaboration and convergence enable researchers to leverage diverse expertise, perspectives, and methodologies to address complex challenges and drive innovation.

5.3.2 Data-driven Discovery and Artificial Intelligence

Another key trend in future R&D is the growing importance of data-driven discovery and artificial intelligence (AI) in accelerating scientific research and innovation. With the advent of big data and advanced computational techniques, researchers can analyze vast amounts of data from diverse sources, including genomic sequencing, imaging, and sensor networks, to uncover hidden patterns, predict outcomes, and generate new hypotheses [182]. AI and machine learning algorithms are increasingly being used to mine large-scale datasets, model complex biological systems, and discover novel materials with desired properties [183]. For example, in drug discovery and development, AI algorithms are being used to analyze chemical structures, predict drug-target interactions, and design new therapeutics with improved efficacy and safety profiles [184]. Similarly, in materials science, AI-driven approaches are enabling researchers to accelerate materials discovery, optimize synthesis processes, and design materials with tailored properties for specific applications [185]. Data-driven discovery and AI have the potential to revolutionize R&D by accelerating the pace of innovation, reducing time and cost, and enabling the discovery of new scientific insights [186].

5.3.3 Sustainability and Global Challenges

A third major trend in future R&D is the increasing focus on sustainability and addressing global challenges such as climate change, resource scarcity, and environmental degradation. With growing awareness of the urgent need to transition to sustainable and resilient systems, researchers are exploring innovative approaches and technologies to promote sustainable development and mitigate environmental impacts [187]. For example, in the field of renewable energy, scientists are developing advanced photovoltaic materials, energy storage technologies, and smart grid systems to enable the widespread adoption of renewable energy sources such as solar and wind [188]. Similarly, in agriculture and food systems, researchers are investigating sustainable farming practices, precision agriculture technologies, and alternative protein sources to enhance food security, reduce environmental footprint, and promote biodiversity conservation [189]. Sustainability-oriented R&D aims to foster the transition to a more sustainable and equitable future by integrating environmental, social, and economic considerations into scientific research and innovation [190].

5.3.4 Ethical and Responsible Innovation

Finally, future R&D will increasingly prioritize ethical and responsible innovation to address societal concerns, ensure equitable access to benefits, and minimize unintended consequences. As scientific advancements raise ethical, legal, and social implications, researchers and policymakers are grappling with questions of privacy, equity, and justice in the development and deployment of new technologies [191]. For example, in the field of genomics and personalized medicine, ethical considerations such as genetic privacy, consent, and equity in access to healthcare are paramount [192]. Similarly, in AI and robotics, concerns about algorithmic bias, job displacement, and autonomous decision-making raise questions about accountability, transparency, and regulation. Ethical and responsible innovation requires proactive engagement with stakeholders, including policymakers, industry, civil society, and the public, to ensure that scientific advancements are aligned with societal values, priorities, and needs [193].

Conclusion, the future of R&D holds immense promise and potential for driving scientific discovery, technological innovation, and societal progress. Interdisciplinary collaboration, data-driven discovery, sustainability, and ethical considerations will shape the trajectory of research and development in the coming years. By embracing these trends and addressing global challenges, researchers can harness the power of science and technology to create a better and more sustainable future for all.

6.0 Conclusion

6.1 Summary of Key Findings

In this comprehensive review, we have explored various aspects of research and development (R&D) across different disciplines, highlighting emerging trends, transformative technologies, and future directions. The analysis encompasses a wide range of topics, including interdisciplinary collaboration, data-driven discovery, sustainability, ethical considerations, and the convergence of science and technology.

6.1.1 Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Convergence

The integration of diverse scientific disciplines, such as biology, engineering, computer science, and social sciences, is identified as a key trend in future R&D. Interdisciplinary collaboration enables researchers to tackle complex challenges and drive innovation by leveraging diverse expertise, perspectives, and methodologies [194].

6.1.2 Data-driven Discovery and Artificial Intelligence

The increasing reliance on big data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) is highlighted as another significant trend in R&D. Data-driven discovery and AI algorithms are revolutionizing scientific research by enabling researchers to analyze large-scale datasets, model complex systems, and predict outcomes with unprecedented accuracy [195].

6.1.3 Sustainability and Global Challenges

The imperative to address global challenges such as climate change, resource scarcity, and environmental degradation is underscored as a priority in future R&D. Sustainability-oriented approaches aim to promote the transition to sustainable and resilient systems by integrating environmental, social, and economic considerations into scientific research and innovation [196].

6.1.4 Ethical and Responsible Innovation

Ethical and responsible innovation emerges as a critical consideration in future R&D, particularly in the context of emerging technologies such as genomics, AI, and robotics. Researchers and policymakers are grappling with ethical dilemmas and societal concerns surrounding issues such as privacy, equity, and accountability in the development and deployment of new technologies [197].

In conclusion, this review provides valuable insights into the current state and future directions of research and development across diverse fields. By embracing interdisciplinary collaboration, data-driven discovery, sustainability, and ethical considerations, researchers can harness the power of science and technology to address global challenges, drive innovation, and create a more sustainable and equitable future for all.

6.2 Implications for the Broader Field of Food Waste Valorization

Food waste valorization holds significant implications for addressing global challenges related to food security, environmental sustainability, and economic development. By converting food waste into value-added products such as biofertilizers, biofuels, and functional ingredients, researchers and industries can mitigate environmental impacts, reduce resource wastage, and create economic opportunities. This section explores the broader implications of food waste valorization across various sectors, highlighting potential benefits, challenges, and future directions.

6.2.1 Environmental Sustainability

Food waste valorization has profound implications for environmental sustainability by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, conserving natural resources, and minimizing landfill waste. By diverting organic waste from landfills and incineration, valorization processes such as composting, anaerobic digestion, and bioconversion can mitigate methane emissions and alleviate pressure on waste management systems [198]. Furthermore, the production of value-added products from food waste, such as biofertilizers and biogas, contributes to the circular economy by closing nutrient loops and promoting resource efficiency.

6.2.2 Agricultural Productivity and Food Security

Food waste valorization has the potential to enhance agricultural productivity and food security by providing sustainable inputs for crop production and soil fertility management. Biofertilizers derived from food waste contain organic matter, nutrients, and beneficial microorganisms that improve soil health, enhance nutrient availability, and promote plant growth [199]. By replacing synthetic fertilizers with bio-based alternatives, farmers can reduce reliance on finite resources such as phosphate and potassium while maintaining or increasing crop yields. Additionally, valorization of food waste into animal feed ingredients can help alleviate feed shortages and support livestock production, thereby contributing to global food security.

6.2.3 Economic Development and Circular Economy

Food waste valorization presents opportunities for economic development and the transition to a circular economy by creating new revenue streams, job opportunities, and value chains. Valorization technologies such as enzymatic hydrolysis, fermentation, and biorefining enable the extraction of high-value compounds from food waste, including bioactive molecules, functional ingredients, and biofuels. These value-added products can be commercialized in various sectors such as food and beverage, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, and bioenergy, driving innovation and economic growth [200]. Moreover, the establishment of decentralized valorization facilities and community-based initiatives can empower local communities, reduce transportation costs, and enhance resilience to economic shocks.

6.2.4 Policy and Regulatory Considerations

The broader implications of food waste valorization extend to policy and regulatory frameworks aimed at promoting sustainable waste management practices, fostering innovation, and incentivizing investments in valorization technologies. Governments, international organizations, and industry stakeholders are increasingly recognizing the importance of supporting food waste valorization initiatives through policy instruments such as tax incentives, subsidies, and regulatory mandates [201]. Furthermore, standards and certifications for valorized products, such as organic certification for biofertilizers and quality assurance for food ingredients, can enhance consumer confidence, market acceptance, and traceability throughout the value chain.

6.2.5 Future Directions and Research Opportunities

Despite the significant progress made in food waste valorization, several challenges and research gaps remain to be addressed to fully realize its potential. Future research directions include optimizing valorization processes for different types of food waste, improving the efficiency and scalability of valorization technologies, and assessing the environmental and socioeconomic impacts of valorization pathways [202]. Additionally, interdisciplinary collaborations between researchers, policymakers, industry stakeholders, and civil society are essential for advancing knowledge, sharing best practices, and co-creating innovative solutions to address complex challenges in food waste valorization.

In conclusion, food waste valorization holds immense promise for addressing global challenges related to food security, environmental sustainability, and economic development. By converting food waste into value-added products, researchers and industries can create opportunities for resource recovery, circular economy development, and sustainable growth. However, realizing the full potential of food waste valorization requires concerted efforts from multiple stakeholders, including governments, industry, academia, and civil society, to overcome barriers, promote innovation, and drive systemic change towards a more sustainable and resilient future.

6.3 Recommendations for Future Research

As food waste valorization continues to gain momentum as a sustainable solution for addressing environmental, economic, and social challenges, it is essential to identify key research areas and priorities to guide future efforts in this field. This section presents recommendations for future research based on the synthesis of existing knowledge and emerging trends in food waste valorization, encompassing interdisciplinary collaboration, technological innovation, policy development, and stakeholder engagement.

6.3.1 Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Knowledge Integration

One of the critical recommendations for future research in food waste valorization is to foster interdisciplinary collaboration and knowledge integration across diverse disciplines, including biology, engineering, environmental science, economics, and social sciences. By bringing together experts from different fields, researchers can leverage complementary expertise, perspectives, and methodologies to address complex challenges and develop holistic solutions for food waste valorization. Interdisciplinary research initiatives should prioritize the integration of scientific, technical, economic, and social dimensions of food waste valorization to ensure the development of sustainable and socially equitable solutions.

6.3.2 Technological Innovation and Process Optimization

Another critical recommendation is to invest in technological innovation and process optimization to improve the efficiency, scalability, and sustainability of food waste valorization technologies [203]. Researchers should focus on developing novel valorization processes, enhancing existing technologies, and optimizing process parameters to maximize resource recovery, minimize waste generation, and reduce environmental impacts [204]. Key areas for technological innovation include the development of advanced pretreatment methods, enzymatic hydrolysis techniques, fermentation processes, and biorefining strategies to valorize diverse types of food waste into high-value products [205].

6.3.3 Policy Development and Regulatory Frameworks

Effective policy development and regulatory frameworks are essential to support and incentivize food waste valorization initiatives at the local, national, and international levels [206]. Policymakers should prioritize the implementation of policies that promote sustainable waste management practices, encourage investment in valorization infrastructure, and create market incentives for valorized products. Moreover, regulatory standards and certification schemes for valorized products, such as biofertilizers and food ingredients, can enhance consumer confidence, market acceptance, and regulatory compliance.

6.3.4 Stakeholder Engagement and Capacity Building

Engagement with stakeholders, including government agencies, industry partners, research institutions, non-governmental organizations, and local communities, is crucial for the success of food waste valorization initiative. Researchers should prioritize stakeholder engagement activities, such as participatory research, stakeholder workshops, and knowledge exchange platforms, to foster collaboration, build capacity, and co-create solutions that address the needs and priorities of diverse stakeholders [206]. Capacity building initiatives should focus on raising awareness, building technical skills, and providing support for the implementation of food waste valorization projects, particularly in resource-constrained settings.

6.3.5 Socioeconomic and Environmental Impact Assessment

Comprehensive socioeconomic and environmental impact assessments are essential for evaluating the outcomes and implications of food waste valorization initiatives (Lundle & Peters et al., 2005). Future research should prioritize the development of robust methodologies and indicators for assessing the economic, social, and environmental impacts of food waste valorization across the entire value chain. Impact assessment studies should consider factors such as resource efficiency, greenhouse gas emissions, land use change, job creation, and community well-being to provide policymakers, investors, and other stakeholders with evidence-based insights for decision-making [207].

In conclusion, recommendations for future research in food waste valorization encompass a wide range of interdisciplinary, technological, policy, and stakeholder engagement aspects. By prioritizing collaboration, innovation, policy support, stakeholder engagement, and impact assessment, researchers can advance knowledge, drive innovation, and promote the adoption of sustainable food waste valorization practices. These recommendations provide a roadmap for future research efforts to address the complex challenges and opportunities associated with food waste valorization and contribute to the transition towards a more sustainable and circular food system.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by Agri Season Sdn. Bhd. The author acknowledged Agri Season Sdn. Bhd. approved fund which makes this important research viable and effective.

References

[1]Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). The State of Food and Agriculture 2019. Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction. Available online: https://doi.org/10.4060/ca6030en

[2]Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & MacNaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 3065-3081. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0126

[3]United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Available online: https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda

[4]Debmalya Barh; Vasco Azevedo. (2018). Omics Technologies and Bio-Engineering: Towards Improving Quality of Life. Volume 1: Towards Improving Global Health. Academic Press. ISBN: 978-0-12-804659-3.

[5]Allgaier, Martin; Reddy, Amitha; Park, Joshua I.; Ivanova, Natalia; D'haeseleer, Patrik; Lowry, Stephen; Sapra, Rajat; Hazen, Terry C.; Simmons, Blake A.; VanderGheynst, Jean S.; Hugenholtz, Philip. (2010). Targeted Discovery of Glycoside Hydrolases from a Switchgrass-Adapted Compost Community. PLoS ONE, 5(1), e8812. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008812

[6]Ruben Vanholme, Kris Morreel, Chiarina Darrah, Paula Oyarce, John H Grabber, John Ralph, Wout Boerjan Metabolic engineering of novel lignin in biomass crops New Phytol. (2012) Dec;196(4):978-1000. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04337.x.

[7]Shenghu Zhou; Nana Ding; Runhua Han; Yu Deng. (2023) Metabolic engineering and fermentation optimization strategies for producing organic acids of the tricarboxylic acid cycle by microbial cell factories. Bioresource Technology 379, 128986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2023.128986

[8]Lei Ren; Zhong Lin; Hongming Liu; Hanqiao Hu (2018). Bacteria-mediated phthalic acid esters degradation and related molecular mechanisms. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 102(14), 1085-1096. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-017-8287-5

[9] Matthias Hess, Alexander Sczyrba, Rob Egan, Tae-Wan Kim, Harshal Chokhawala, Gary Schroth, Shujun Luo, Douglas S Clark, Feng Chen, Tao Zhang, Roderick I Mackie, Len A Pennacchio, Susannah G Tringe, Axel Visel, Tanja

[10]Amorim, Henrique V.; Lopes, Mario L.; de Castro Oliveira, Juliana V.; Buckeridge, Marcos S.; Goldman, Gustavo H. (2011). Scientific Challenges of Bioethanol

Production in Brazil. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 91(5), 1267-1275. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-011-3437-6

[11]Mohamed A Farag , Sherweit H El-Ahmady, Fatma S Elian, Ludger A Wessjohann (2013) Metabolomics driven analysis of artichoke leaf and its commercial products via UHPLC-q-TOF-MS and chemometrics Phytochemistry 95, 177-87 DOI: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.07.003

[12]Nasrin, AB, Vijaya, S, Loh, S K, Astimar, A A, Lim, W S (2017) Quality compliance and environmental impact assessment of commercial empty fruit bunch (EFB) pellet fuel in Malaysia. Journal of Oil Palm Research 29(4) p. 570 – 578 DOI:10.21894/jopr.2017.0002

[13]K. L. Chin, P. S. H'ng, L. J. Wong, B. T. Tey, M. T. Paridah (2010) Optimization study of ethanolic fermentation from oil palm trunk, rubberwood and mixed hardwood hydrolysates using Saccharomyces cerevisiae page 3287-3291 Bioresource Technology volumn 101 Issues 9 2010 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.12.036

[14]D.T. Sabrina, M. M. Hanafi, Mahmud Tengku Muda Mohamed, Nor Azwady Abd Aziz. Vermicomposting of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch And its Potential in Supplying of Nutrients For Crop Growth July (2013) Compost Science & Utilization 17(1):61-67 DOI:10.1080/1065657X.2009.10702401

[15]Ming Quan Lam , Nicola C. Oates , Suganthi Thevarajoo , Lili Tokiman , Kian Mau Goh , Simon J. McQueen-Mason , Neil C. Bruce , Chun Shiong Chong (2020) Genomic analysis of a lignocellulose degrading strain from the underexplored genus Meridianimaribacter Genomics Volume 112, Issue 1Pages 952-960 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.06.011

[16]Chang Geun Yoo, Xianzhi Meng, Yunqiao Pu, Arthur J. Ragauskas (2020) The critical role of lignin in lignocellulosic biomass conversion and recent pretreatment strategies: A comprehensive review Bioresource Technology Volume 301, 122784 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.122784

[17] Guttman, M., Garber, M., Levin, J. Z., Donaghey, J., Robinson, J., Adiconis, X., & Lander, E. S. (2009). Ab initio reconstruction of cell type–specific transcriptomes in mouse reveals the conserved multi-exonic structure of lincRNAs. Nature Biotechnology, 28(5), 503-510. articles/nbt.1633

[18]Wang, Z., Gerstein, M., & Snyder, M. (2009). RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nature Reviews Genetics, 10(1), 57-63. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2484

[19]Linger, J. G., Vardon, D. R., Guarnieri, M. T., Karp, E. M., Hunsinger, G. B., Franden, M. A., ... & Beckham, G. T. (2014). Lignin valorization through integrated biological funneling and chemical catalysis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(33), 12013-12018. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1410657111

[20]Alvira, P., Tomás-Pejó, E., Ballesteros, M., & Negro, M. J. (2010). Pretreatment technologies for an efficient bioethanol production process based on enzymatic hydrolysis: a review. Bioresource Technology, 101(13), 4851-4861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.11.093

[21]Himmel, M. E., Ding, S. Y., Johnson, D. K., Adney, W. S., Nimlos, M. R., Brady, J. W., & Foust, T. D. (2007). Biomass recalcitrance: engineering plants and enzymes for biofuels production. Science, 315(5813), 804-807. DOI: 10.1126/science.1137016

[22]Olson, D. G., McBride, J. E., Shaw, A. J., & Lynd, L. R. (2012). Recent progress in consolidated bioprocessing. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 23(3), 396-405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.11.026

[23]Stephanopoulos, G. (2007). Challenges in engineering microbes for biofuels production. Science, 315(5813), 801-804.https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139612

[24]Jens Nielsen, Jay D. Keasling (2016) Engineering Cellular Metabolism Volume 164 (6) Page 1185 - 1197 https://Doi.org/10.1016/J.Cell.2016.02.004

[25]Farrukh Raza Amin, Habiba Khalid, Han Zhang, Sajid U Rahman, Ruihong Zhang, Guangqing Liu, Chang Chen (2017) Pretreatment methods of

lignocellulosic biomass for anaerobic digestion AMB Express;7(1):72. doi: 10.1186/s13568-017-0375-4.

[26]Ziels, R. M., Sousa, D. Z., Stensel, H. D., & Beck, D. A. (2018). DNA-SIP based genome-centric metagenomics identifies key long-chain fatty acid-degrading populations in anaerobic digesters with different feeding frequencies. The ISME Journal, 12(1), 112-123.

[27]Angelidaki, I., & Sanders, W. (2004). Assessment of the anaerobic biodegradability of macropollutants. Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology, 3(2), 117-129. 10.1007/s11157-004-2502-3

[28]Bridgwater, A. V. (2012). Review of fast pyrolysis of biomass and product upgrading. Biomass and Bioenergy, 38, 68-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.01.048

[29]Guanyu Wang, Yujie Dai, Haiping Yang, Qingang Xiong, Kaige Wang, Jinsong Zhou, Yunchao Li and Shurong Wang (2020) A Review of Recent Advances in Biomass Pyrolysis, Energy Fuels, 34, 12, 15557–15578 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c03107

[30]Studer, M. H., DeMartini, J. D., Davis, M. F., Sykes, R. W., Davison, B., Keller, M., & Wyman, C. E. (2011). Lignin content in natural Populus variants affects sugar release. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(15), 6300-6305.https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009252108

[31]Conesa, A., Madrigal, P., Tarazona, S., Gomez-Cabrero, D., Cervera, A., McPherson, A., ... & Mortazavi, A. (2016). A survey of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. Genome Biology, 17(1), 1-19. DOI: 10.1186/s13059-016-0881-8

[32]Mehanathan Muthamilarasan , Nagendra Kumar Singh , Manoj Prasad (2019) Multi-omics approaches for strategic improvement of stress tolerance in underutilized crop species: A climate change perspective Adv Genet103:1-38. DOI: 10.1016/bs.adgen.2019.01.001

[33]Palsson, B. (2015). Systems biology: constraint-based reconstruction and analysis. Cambridge University Press. 9781107038851_frontmatter.pdf (cambridge.org)

[34]Aebersold, R., & Mann, M. (2016). Mass-spectrometric exploration of proteome structure and function. Nature, 537(7620), 347-355. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19949

[35]M R Wilkins , J C Sanchez, A A Gooley, R D Appel, I Humphery-Smith, D F Hochstrasser, K L Williams (1996) Progress with proteome projects: why all proteins expressed by a genome should be identified and how to do it Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev. 1996:13:19-50. DOI: 10.1080/02648725.1996.10647923

[36]Cox, J., & Mann, M. (2008). MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nature Biotechnology, 26(12), 1367-1372. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1511

[37]Trishna Mahanty, Surajit Bhattacharjee, Madhurankhi Goswami, Purnita Bhattacharyya, Bannhi Das, Abhrajyoti Ghosh & Prosun Tribedi (2017) Biofertilizers: a potential approach for sustainable agriculture development Volume 24, pages 3315–3335 | Environmental Science and Pollution Research (springer.com)

[38]Wang, B., & Qiu, Y. L. (2006). Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizas in land plants. Mycorrhiza, 16(5), 299-363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-005-0033-6

[39]Wang, L., Feng, Z., Wang, X., Wang, X., & Zhang, X. (2010). DEGseq: an R package for identifying differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. Bioinformatics, 26(1), 136-138. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp612

[40]John Christian Gaby, Daniel H. Buckley (2014) A comprehensive aligned nifH gene database: a multipurpose tool for studies of nitrogen-fixing bacteria Database, Volume 2014, 2014, bau001, https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bau001

[41]Oldroyd, G. E., & Dixon, R. (2014). Biotechnological solutions to the nitrogen problem. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 26, 19-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.08.006

[42]Richardson, A. E., Barea, J. M., McNeill, A. M., & Prigent-Combaret, C. (2009). Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth

promotion by microorganisms. Plant and Soil, 321(1-2), 305-339. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[43]Alori, E. T., Glick, B. R., & Babalola, O. O. (2017). Microbial phosphorus solubilization and its potential for use in sustainable agriculture. Frontiers in Microbiology, 8, 971.
Frontiers | Microbial Phosphorus Solubilization and Its Potential for Use in Sustainable Agriculture (frontiersin.org)

[44] Hacquard, S., Spaepen, S., Garrido-Oter, R., & Schulze-Lefert, P. (2017). Interplay between innate immunity and the plant microbiota. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 55, 565-589. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-035623

[45]José-Miguel Barea, María José Pozo, Rosario Azcón, Concepción Azcón-Aguilar (2005) Microbial co-operation in the rhizosphere Journal of Experimental Botany, Volume 56, Issue 417, Pages 1761–1778 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eri197

[46]Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M., & Bakker, P. A. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Trends in Plant Science, 17(8), 478-486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001

[47]Krom, B. P., Kidwai, S., & Ten Cate, J. M. (2014). Candida and other fungal species: forgotten players of healthy oral microbiota. Journal of Dental Research, 93(5), 445-451. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034514521814

[48]Shengjing Shi , Erin E Nuccio , Zhou J Shi , Zhili He , Jizhong Zhou , Mary K Firestone (2016) The interconnected rhizosphere: High network complexity dominates rhizosphere assemblages Ecol Lett.19(8):926-36. DOI: 10.1111/ele.12630

[49]Vizcaíno, J. A., Csordas, A., Del-Toro, N., Dianes, J. A., Griss, J., Lavidas, I., ... & Hermjakob, H. (2016). 2016 update of the PRIDE database and its related tools. Nucleic Acids Research, 44(D1), D447-D456. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1145

[50]Niu, B., Paulson, J. N., Zheng, X., & Kolter, R. (2017). Simplified and representative bacterial community of maize roots. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(12), E2450-E2459. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616148114

[51]Xiangrong Liu , Jiayuan Liao , Haixing Song , Yong Yang , Chunyun Guan , Zhenhua Zhang（2019) A Biochar-Based Route for Environmentally Friendly Controlled Release of Nitrogen: Urea-Loaded Biochar and Bentonite Composite Sci Rep. 2;9(1):9548. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-46065-3

[52]Fiehn, O. (2002). Metabolomics—the link between genotypes and phenotypes. Plant Molecular Biology, 48(1-2), 155-171. Metabolomics--the link between genotypes and phenotypes - PubMed (nih.gov)

[53]Dunn, W. B., Erban, A., Weber, R. J., Creek, D. J., Brown, M., Breitling, R., ... & Sumner, L. W. (2013). Mass appeal: metabolite identification in mass spectrometry-focused untargeted metabolomics. Metabolomics, 9(1), 44-66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-012-0434-4

[54]Dettmer, K., Aronov, P. A., & Hammock, B. D. (2007). Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics. Mass Spectrometry Reviews, 26(1), 51-78.https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.20108

[55]Santoyo, G., Moreno-Hagelsieb, G., del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda, M., & Glick, B. R. (2016). Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. Microbiological Research, 183, 92-99.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.11.008

[56]Peters, K., Worrich, A., Weinhold, A., Alka, O., Balcke, G., Birkemeyer, C., & Crecelius, A. C. (2018). Current challenges in plant eco-metabolomics. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 19(5), 1385. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19051385

[57]P N Bhattacharyya , D K Jha (2012) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 28(4):1327-50. doi: 10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9. DOI: 10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9

[58]Berger, B., Brock, A. K., & Ruppel, S. (2013). Nitrogen supply influences plant growth and transcriptional responses induced by Enterobacter radicincitans in Solanum lycopersicum. Plant and Soil, 370(1-2), 641-652. Nitrogen supply influences plant growth and transcriptional responses induced by

Enterobacter radicincitans in Solanum lycopersicum | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[59]Spaepen, S., Vanderleyden, J., & Remans, R. (2007). Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 31(4), 425-448. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00072.x

[60]Vacheron, J., Desbrosses, G., Bouffaud, M. L., Touraine, B., Moënne-Loccoz, Y., Muller, D., & Legendre, L. (2013). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. Frontiers in Plant Science, 4, 356. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00356

[61]Fondi, M., Karkman, A., Tamminen, M. V., Bosi, E., Virta, M., Fani, R., & Alm, E. J. (2016). "Every gene is everywhere but the environment selects": global geolocalization of gene sharing in environmental samples through network analysis. Genome Biology and Evolution, 8(5), 1388-1400. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw077

[62]Mendes, R., Garbeva, P., & Raaijmakers, J. M. (2013). The rhizosphere microbiome: significance of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 37(5), 634-663. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12028

[63]Muhammad Saleem, Muhammad Arshad, Sarfraz Hussain & Ahmad Saeed Bhatti (2007) Perspective of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) containing ACC deaminase in stress agriculture Volume 34, pages 635–648 Perspective of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) containing ACC deaminase in stress agriculture | Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology (springer.com)

[64]Weckwerth, W. (2011). Unpredictability of metabolism—the key role of metabolomics science in combination with next-generation genome sequencing. Analytical Bioanalysis, 400(7), 1967-1978. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-4948-9

[65]Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & MacNaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: Quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 3065-3081. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0126

[66]Åsa Stenmarck, O. Hanssen, Mads Werge (2011) Initiatives on prevention of food waste in the retail and wholesale trades. Environmental Science, Business DOI:10.6027/TN2011-548

[67]Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2011) Global Food Losses and Food Waste – Extent, Causes and Prevention Global Food Losses and Food Waste – Extent, Causes and Prevention (fao.org)

[68]ReFED. (2016). A roadmap to reduce US food waste by 20 percent. ReFED. The 2016 Roadmap to Reduce U.S. Food Waste by 20 Percent (refed.org)

[69]Quested, T. E., Marsh, E., Stunell, D., & Parry, A. D. (2013). Spaghetti soup: The complex world of food waste behaviours. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 79, 43-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.04.011

[70]Aschemann-Witzel, J., de Hooge, I. E., Amani, P., Bech-Larsen, T., Oostindjer, M., & Trolle, E. (2015). Consumer-related food waste: causes and potential for action. Sustainability, 7(6), 6457-6477. 10.3390/su7066457

[71]Asli Elif Aydin, Pinar Yildirim (2021) Understanding food waste behavior: The role of morals, habits and knowledge Journal of Cleaner Production Volume 280, Part 1, 20 January 2021, 124250 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124250

[72]Neff, R. A., Spiker, M. L., & Truant, P. L. (2015). Wasted food: US consumers' reported awareness, attitudes, and behaviors. PloS One, 10(6), e0127881. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127881

[73]Lipinski, B., Hanson, C., Lomax, J., Kitinoja, L., Waite, R., & Searchinger, T. (2013). Reducing food loss and waste. Working Paper, Installment 2 of Creating a Sustainable Food Future. World Resources Institute. Reducing Food Loss and Waste | World Resources Institute (wri.org)

[74]Parizeau, K., von Massow, M., & Martin, R. (2015). Household-level dynamics of food waste production and related beliefs, attitudes, and behaviours in Guelph, Ontario. Waste Management, 35, 207-217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.09.019

[75]Gooch, M., Bucknell, D., LaPlain, D., Dent, B., Whitehead, P., Felfel, A., Nikkel, L., Maguire, M. (2019). The Avoidable Crisis of Food Waste: Technical Report; Value Chain Management International and Second Harvest; Ontario, Canada. secondharvest.ca/getmedia/58c2527f-928a-4b6f-843a-c0a6b4d09692/The-Avoidable-Crisis-of-Food-Waste-Technical-Report.pd

[76]Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., Van Otterdijk, R., & Meybeck, A. (2011). Global food losses and food waste: Extent, causes and prevention. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Global food losses and food waste (fao.org)

[77]United Nations (2015) Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

[78]ReFED. (2021) Roadmap to 2030: Reducing U.S. Food Waste by 50% Roadmap to 2030: Reducing U.S. Food Waste by 50% - ReFED, Inc Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development | Department of Economic and Social Affairs (un.org)

[79]Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., & Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular economy: The concept and its limitations. Ecological Economics, 143, 37-46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.041

[80]Ludovica Principato (2018) Factors and Behaviours Affecting Food Waste at Consumption Level: The Household Food Waste Journey Model Food Waste at Consumer Level Factors and Behaviours Affecting Food Waste at Consumption Level: The Household Food Waste Journey Model | SpringerLink

[81]H P S Abdul Khalil , Y Davoudpour, Md Nazrul Islam, Asniza Mustapha, K Sudesh, Rudi Dungani, M Jawaid (2014) Production and modification of nanofibrillated cellulose using various mechanical processes: a review Carbohydr Polym . 2014 Jan:99:649-65. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.069. Epub 2013 Sep 2. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.069

[82]M.K. Faizi, A.B. Shahriman, M.S. Abdul Majid, B.M.T. Shamsul, Y.G. Ng, S.N. Basah, E.M. Cheng, M. Afendi, M.R. Zuradzman, Khairunizam Wan and D. Hazry (2017) An overview of the Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch (OPEFB) potential as reinforcing fibre in polymer composite for energy absorption applications MATEC Web of Conferences 90, 01064 https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/20179001064

[83]Shahrakbah Yacob , Mohd Ali Hassan, Yoshihito Shirai, Minato Wakisaka, Sunderaj Subash (2005). Baseline study of methane emission from open digesting tanks of palm oil mill effluent treatment Chemosphere . 59(11):1575-81. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.11.040

[84]Edmar I. Teixeira , Guenther Fischer , Harrij van Velthuizen, Christof Walter ^{c}, Frank Ewert (2013) Global hot-spots of heat stress on agricultural crops due to climate change./ Agricultural and Forest Meteorology Volume 170, Pages 206-215 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.09.002

[85]Nur Fatin Athirah Ahmad Rizal,Mohamad Faizal Ibrahim ,Mohd Rafein Zakaria, Suraini Abd-Aziz, Phang Lai Yee, Mohd Ali Hassan (2018) Pre-treatment of Oil Palm Biomass for Fermentable Sugars Production Molecules, 23(6), 1381 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23061381

[86]Ahmed ElMekawy, Ludo Diels, Heleen De Wever,Deepak Pant (2013) Valorization of Cereal Based Biorefinery Byproducts: Reality and Expectations Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 16, 9014–9027 https://doi.org/10.1021/es402395g

[87]Md Abdullah Al Masud , Won Sik Shin, Aniruddha Sarker, Ardie Septian, Kallol Das , Deen Mohammad Deepo, Mohammad Asif Iqbal, Abu Reza Md Towfiqul Islam, Guilherme Malafaia (2023) A critical review of sustainable application of biochar for green remediation: Research uncertainty and future directions Science of The Total Environment Volume 904, 166813 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166813

[88]Arezoo Fereidonian Dashti Mohd Omar Fatehah Mohammad Ali Zahed (2022) Waste management of the palm oil industry: present status and future perspective Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science Volume 17 Issue 2, , pp. 75-88 https://doi.org/10.1680/jenes.20.00059

[89]Altieri, M. A. (1999). The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 74(1-3), 19-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(99)00028-6

[90]Tscharntke, T., Clough, Y., Wanger, T. C., Jackson, L., Motzke, I., Perfecto, I., & Whitbread, A. (2012). Global food security, biodiversity conservation and the future of agricultural intensification. Biological Conservation, 151(1), 53-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2012.01.068

[91]Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., & Wright, A. L. (2019). Nature's matrix: linking agriculture, conservation and food sovereignty. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429028557

[92]Giller, K. E., Andersson, J. A., Corbeels, M., Kirkegaard, J., Mortensen, D., Erenstein, O., & Vanlauwe, B. (2015). Beyond conservation agriculture. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6, 870. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00870

[93]Lal, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. Science, 304(5677), 1623-1627. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097396

[94]Pimentel, D., Hepperly, P., Hanson, J., Douds, D., & Seidel, R. (2005). Environmental, energetic, and economic comparisons of organic and conventional farming systems. BioScience, 55(7), 573-582. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0573:EEAECO]2.0.CO;2

[95]Smith, P., House, J. I., Bustamante, M., Sobocká, J., Harper, R., Pan, G., & Rumpel, C. (2016). Global change pressures on soils from land use and management. Global Change Biology, 22(3), 1008-1028. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13068

[96]Rockström, J., Williams, J., Daily, G., Noble, A., Matthews, N., Gordon, L., ... & Smith, J. (2017). Sustainable intensification of agriculture for human prosperity and global sustainability. Ambio, 46(1), 4-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0793-6

[97]Kijne, J. W., Barker, R., & Molden, D. (Eds.). (2003). Water productivity in agriculture: limits and opportunities for improvement (Vol. 1). CABI. Water productivity in agriculture: limits and opportunities for improvement | Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture (cabidigitallibrary.org)

[98]Garnett, T., Appleby, M. C., Balmford, A., Bateman, I. J., Benton, T. G., Bloomer, P., & Godfray, H. C. J. (2013). Sustainable intensification in agriculture: premises and policies. Science, 341(6141), 33-34.https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234485

[99]Pretty, J., & Hine, R. (2002). Reducing food poverty with sustainable agriculture: a summary of new evidence. Final report from the SAFE-World research project. University of Essex. Reducing Food Poverty with Sustainable Agriculture: A Summary of New Evidence I | IATP

[100]Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., ... & Zaks, D. P. M. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature, 478(7369), 337-342. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10452

[101]Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2020). Agroecology and the reconstruction of a post-COVID-19 agriculture. Journal of Peasant Studies, 47(5), 881-898. https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2020.1782891

[102]Pretty, J., & Bharucha, Z. P. (2014). Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems. Annals of Botany, 114(8), 1571-1596. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu205

[103]DeLonge, M. S., Miles, A., & Carlisle, L. (2016). Investing in the transition to sustainable agriculture. Environmental Science & Policy, 55, 266-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.09.013

[104]D. Zilberman, G. Hochman, D. Rajagopal, Steven Sexton, G. Timilsina (2013) The Impact of Biofuels on Commodity Food Prices: Assessment of Findings Economics, Environmental Science, Agricultural and Food Sciences American Journal of Agricultural Economics DOI:10.1093/AJAE/AAS037

[105]Jaffee, D., & Howard, P. H. (2010). Corporate cooptation of organic and fair trade standards. Agriculture and Human Values, 27(4), 387-399. Corporate cooptation of organic and fair trade standards | Agriculture and Human Values (springer.com)

[106]Lin, B. B. (2011). Resilience in agriculture through crop diversification: adaptive management for environmental change. BioScience, 61(3), 183-193. https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2011.61.3.4

[107]Díaz, S., Demissew, S., Carabias, J., Joly, C., Lonsdale, M., Ash, N., & Zlatanova, D. (2015). The IPBES conceptual framework—connecting nature and

people. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 14, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2014.11.002

[108]Banerjee, G., Car, S., Scott-Craig, J. S., Borrusch, M. S., & Walton, J. D. (2010). Rapid optimization of enzyme mixtures for deconstruction of diverse pretreatment/biomass feedstock combinations. Biotechnology for Biofuels, 3(1), 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-3-22

[109]Beg, Q. K., Kapoor, M., Mahajan, L., & Hoondal, G. S. (2001). Microbial xylanases and their industrial applications: a review. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 56(3-4), 326-338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530100704

[110]Kulkarni, N., Shendye, A., & Rao, M. (1999). Molecular and biotechnological aspects of xylanases. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 23(4), 411-456. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1999.tb00407.x

[111]R Gupta , Q K Beg, S Khan, B Chauhan (2002) An overview on fermentation, downstream processing and properties of microbial alkaline proteases Appl Microbiol Biotechnol . 2002 Dec;60(4):381-95 DOI: 10.1007/s00253-002-1142-1

[112]Mester, T., & Tien, M. (2000). Oxidation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dibenzothiophene by extracellular fungal lignin peroxidase. Enzyme and Microbial Technology, 27(1-2), 111-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-8305(00)00071-8

[113]Bugg, T. D., Ahmad, M., Hardiman, E. M., & Rahmanpour, R. (2011). Pathways for degradation of lignin in bacteria and fungi. Natural Product Reports, 28(12), 1883-1896. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1np00042j

[114]Jaeger, K. E., & Eggert, T. (2002). Lipases for biotechnology. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 13(4), 390-397. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(02)00341-5

[115]Kumar, A., Dhar, K., & Kanwar, S. S. (2016). Lipase catalysis in organic solvents: advantages and applications. Biological Procedures Online, 18(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12575-016-0033-2

[116]Yunqing Zhu, Charles Romain & Charlotte K. Williams (2016) Sustainable polymers from renewable resources Nature volume 540, pages354–362 Sustainable polymers from renewable resources | Nature

[117]Bashan, Y., de-Bashan, L. E., Prabhu, S. R., & Hernandez, J. P. (2014). Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013). Plant and Soil, 378(1-2), 1-33.
Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013) | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[118]Bhattacharyya, P. N., & Jha, D. K. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28(4), 1327-1350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9

[119]Vessey, J. K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant and Soil, 255(2), 571-586. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[120]Richardson, A. E., Barea, J. M., McNeill, A. M., & Prigent-Combaret, C. (2009). Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant and Soil, 321(1-2), 305-339. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[121]Rodríguez, H., & Fraga, R. (1999). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. Biotechnology Advances, 17(4-5), 319-339. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-9750(99)00014-2

[122]Glick, B. R. (2012). Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications. Scientifica, 2012. https://doi.org/10.6064/2012/963401

[123]Smith, S. E., & Smith, F. A. (2011). Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and growth: new paradigms from cellular to ecosystem scales. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 62, 227-250. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103846

[124]Verbruggen, E., & Kiers, E. T. (2010). Evolutionary ecology of mycorrhizal functional diversity in agricultural systems. Evolutionary Applications, 3(5-6), 547-560. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2010.00145.x

[125]Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J. M., Lemanceau, P., & van der Putten, W. H. (2013). Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 11(11), 789-799. Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere | Nature Reviews Microbiology

[126]S. Hassan, Mohamed Hijri, M. St-Arnaud New Biotechnology (2013) Environmental Science, Biology Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on trace metal uptake by sunflower plants grown on cadmium contaminated soil. DOI:10.1016/j.nbt.2013.07.002

[127]Fierer, N., & Jackson, R. B. (2006). The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(3), 626-631. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507535103

[128]Singh, J. S., Pandey, V. C., & Singh, D. P. (2011). Efficient soil microorganisms: a new dimension for sustainable agriculture and environmental development. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 140, 339-353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.01.017

[129]Richardson, A. E., Barea, J. M., McNeill, A. M., & Prigent-Combaret, C. (2009). Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant and Soil, 321(1-2), 305-339. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[130]Bhattacharyya, P. N., & Jha, D. K. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28(4), 1327-1350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9

[131]Rodríguez, H., & Fraga, R. (1999). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. Biotechnology Advances, 17(4-5), 319-339. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-9750(99)00014-2

[132]Stanislav Kopriva, Alexander Calderwood, Silke C Weckopp, Anna Koprivova (2015) Plant sulfur and Big Data Plant Sci. 241:1-10 DOI: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.09.014

[133]Schimel, J. P., & Schaeffer, S. M. (2012). Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. Frontiers in Microbiology, 3, 348. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00348

[134]Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J. M., Lemanceau, P., & van der Putten, W. H. (2013). Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 11(11), 789-799. Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere | Nature Reviews Microbiology

[135]Bhattacharyya, P. N., & Jha, D. K. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28(4), 1327-1350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9

[136]Bashan, Y., de-Bashan, L. E., Prabhu, S. R., & Hernandez, J. P. (2014). Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013). Plant and Soil, 378(1-2), 1-33. Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013) | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[137]Richardson, A. E., Barea, J. M., McNeill, A. M., & Prigent-Combaret, C. (2009). Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant and Soil, 321(1-2), 305-339. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms | Plant and Soil (springer.com)

[138]H. Tandon (2005) Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters, fertilisers & organic manures. Environmental Science, Agricultural and Food Sciences Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters, fertilisers & organic manures. | Semantic Scholar

[139] Bremner, J. M. (1996). Nitrogen—total. In D. L. Sparks (Ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3—Chemical Methods (pp. 1085-1121). American Society of Agronomy. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c37

[140]Murphy, J., & Riley, J. P. (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. Analytica Chimica Acta, 27, 31-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5

[141]Skoog, D. A., West, D. M., Holler, F. J., & Crouch, S. R. (1992). Fundamentals of Analytical Chemistry.

Cengage Learning. Fundamentals of analytical chemistry : Skoog, Douglas A : Free Download, Borrow, and Streaming : Internet Archive

[142]Snyder, L. R., Kirkland, J. J., & Dolan, J. W. (2009). Introduction to modern liquid chromatography. John Wiley & Sons. DOI:10.1002/9780470508183

[143]Zehr, J. P., & Turner, P. J. (2001). Nitrogen fixation: nitrogenase genes and gene expression. In C. J. Hurst (Ed.), Methods in Microbiology (Vol. 30, pp. 271-286). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0580-9517(01)30049-1

[144]Heid, C. A., Stevens, J., Livak, K. J., & Williams, P. M. (1996). Real time quantitative PCR. Genome Research, 6(10), 986-994. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.6.10.986

[145]Fiehn, O. (2002). Metabolomics—the link between genotypes and phenotypes. Plant Molecular Biology, 48(1-2), 155-171. Metabolomics--the link between genotypes and phenotypes - PubMed (nih.gov)

[146]Dumont, M. G., & Murrell, J. C. (2005). Stable isotope probing—linking microbial identity to function. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 3(6), 499-504. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1162

[147]Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2022). Pesticides. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/pesticides EPA Announces Plan to Protect Endangered Species and Support Sustainable Agriculture | US EPA

[148]Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (2022). Overview of Food Additives. Retrieved from https://www.fda.gov/food/food-additives-petitions/overview-food-additives Food Additives & Petitions | FDA

[149]United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). (2022). Organic Certification. Retrieved from https://www.ams.usda.gov/services/organic-certification USDA Accepting Applications to Help Cover Costs of Organic, Transitioning Producers

[150]Rainforest Alliance. (2022). Certification. Retrieved from https://www.rainforest-alliance.org/certification/ 2020 Certification Program | Rainforest Alliance (rainforest-alliance.org)

[151]Fair Trade USA. (2022). What is Fair Trade? Retrieved from https://www.fairtradecertified.org/what-is-fair-trade Fairtrade America Releases 2022 Consumer Trends – Fairtrade America

[152]Federal Trade Commission (FTC). (2022). Advertising and Marketing. Retrieved from https://www.ftc.gov/tips-advice/business-center/advertising-and-marketing Federal Trade Commission Announces Updated Advertising Guides to Combat Deceptive Reviews and Endorsements | Federal Trade Commission (ftc.gov)

[153]Codex Alimentarius. (2022). About Codex. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/about-codex/en/ Save the date / 20 September 2022, Codex to launch new survey on use and impact of Codex standards | CODEXALIMENTARIUS (fao.org)

[154]European Commission. (2022). Common Agricultural Policy. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/info/food-farming-fisheries/farming/common-agricultural-policy_en
EPA Announces Plan to Protect Endangered Species and Support Sustainable Agriculture | US EPA

[155]United States Trade Representative. (2022). Trade Agreements. Retrieved from https://ustr.gov/trade-agreements 2022 | United States Trade Representative (ustr.gov)

[156]National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2016). Genetically Engineered Crops: Experiences and Prospects. National Academies Press. Summary | Genetically Engineered Crops: Experiences and Prospects | The National Academies Press

[157]Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2022). FAO and Blockchain. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/blockchain/en/ E-Agriculture in action: Blockchain for agriculture - Opportunities and Challenges - ITU Hub

[158]United Nations. (2022). Sustainable Development Goals. Retrieved from https://sdgs.un.org/goals The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2022 | DESA Publications (un.org)

[159]United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2015). Paris Agreement. Retrieved from https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement parisagreement_publication.pdf (unfccc.int)

[160]Hasin, Y., Seldin, M., & Lusis, A. (2017). Multi-omics approaches to disease. Genome Biology, 18(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1215-1

[161]Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics, 9(1), 559. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

[162]Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., Sato, Y., & Morishima, K. (2017). KEGG: New perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Research, 45(D1), D353-D361. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1092

[163]The Gene Ontology Consortium. (2021). The Gene Ontology resource: enriching a GOld mine. Nucleic Acids Research, 49(D1), D325-D334. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1113

[164]Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V. K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B. L., Gillette, M. A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S. L., Golub, T. R., Lander, E. S., & Mesirov, J. P. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102(43), 15545-15550. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102

[165]Wang, B., Mezlini, A. M., Demir, F., Fiume, M., Tu, Z., Brudno, M., Haibe-Kains, B., & Goldenberg, A. (2014). Similarity network fusion for aggregating data types on a genomic scale. Nature Methods, 11(3), 333-337. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2810

[166]Angermueller, C., Pärnamaa, T., Parts, L., & Stegle, O. (2016). Deep learning for computational biology. Molecular Systems Biology, 12(7), 878. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20156651

[167]Ching, T., Himmelstein, D. S., Beaulieu-Jones, B. K., Kalinin, A. A., Do, B. T., Way, G. P., Ferrero, E., Agapow, P. M., Zietz, M., Hoffman, M. M., Xie, W., Rosen, G. L., Lengerich, B. J., Israeli, J., Lanchantin, J., Woloszynek, S., Carpenter, A. E., Shrikumar, A., Xu, J. Green, A. A. (2018). Opportunities and obstacles for deep learning in biology and medicine. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 15(141), 20170387. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2017.0387

[168]Linda Y Liu, Ting Yang, Jun Ji, Qiaojun Wen, Alexander A Morgan, Bo Jin, Gongxing Chen, Deirdre J Lyell, David K Stevenson, Xuefeng B Ling [1], Atul J Butte 2013 Integrating multiple 'omics' analyses identifies serological protein biomarkers for preeclampsia BMC Med . 6:11:236. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-236.

[169]Huang, S., Chaudhary, K., & Garmire, L. X. (2017). More is better: Recent progress in multi-omics data integration methods. Frontiers in Genetics, 8, 84. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2017.00084

[170]Bordbar, A., Monk, J. M., King, Z. A., & Palsson, B. O. (2014). Constraint-based models predict metabolic and associated cellular functions. Nature Reviews Genetics, 15(2), 107-120.https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3643

[171]Moxley, J. F., Jewett, M. C., Antoniewicz, M. R., Villas-Boas, S. G., Alper, H., Wheeler, R. T., & Tong, L. (2009). Linking high-resolution metabolic flux phenotypes and transcriptional regulation in yeast modulated by the global regulator Gcn4p. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(16), 6477-6482. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811091106

[172]Nielsen, J. (2017). Systems biology of metabolism: a driver for developing personalized and precision medicine. Cell Metabolism, 25(3), 572-579. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.02.002

[173]Jorge Alonso-Gutierrez, Eun-Mi Kim, Tanveer S. Batth, Nathan Cho, Qijun Hu, Leanne Jade G. Chan, Christopher J. Petzold, Nathan J. Hillson, Paul D. Adams, Jay D Keasling, Hector Garcia Martin, Taek Soon Lee (2015) Principal component analysis of proteomics (PCAP) as a tool to direct metabolic engineeringMetabolic Engineering Volume 28, 2015, Pages 123-133 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2014.11.011

[174]Orth, J. D., Conrad, T. M., Na, J., Lerman, J. A., Nam, H., Feist, A. M., & Palsson, B. Ø. (2011). A comprehensive genome-scale reconstruction of Escherichia coli metabolism—2011. Molecular Systems Biology, 7(1), 535. https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.65

[175]Sang Yup Lee, Jin Hwan Park, Seh Hee Jang, Lars K Nielsen, Jaehyun Kim, Kwang S Jung (2008) Fermentative butanol production by Clostridia Biotechnol Bioeng . 2008 Oct 1;101(2):209-28. DOI: 10.1002/bit.22003

[176]Yueping Zhang, Jens Nielsen, Zihe Liu (2017) Engineering yeast metabolism for production of terpenoids for use as perfume ingredients, pharmaceuticals and biofuels FEMS Yeast Res. 1;17(8). doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fox080. DOI: 10.1093/femsyr/fox080

[177]Feist, A. M., Zielinski, D. C., Orth, J. D., Schellenberger, J., & Herrgard, M. J. (2009). Model-driven evaluation of the production potential for growth-coupled products of Escherichia coli. Metabolic Engineering, 12(3), 173-186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2009.10.003

[178]Lara, A. R., Galindo, E., Ramirez, O. T., & Palomares, L. A. (2006) Living with heterogeneities in bioreactors Understanding the effects of environmental gradients on cellsVolume 34, pages 355–381Molecular Biotechnology Living with heterogeneities in bioreactors | Molecular Biotechnology (springer.com)

[179]Karl Hult, Per Berglund (2007) Enzyme promiscuity: mechanism and applications Trends in

Biotechnology Volume 25, Issue 5, May 2007, Pages 231-238 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.03.002

[180]National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2017). Fostering integrity in research. National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/21896

[181]Khalil, A. S., & Collins, J. J. (2010). Synthetic biology: applications come of age. Nature Reviews Genetics, 11(5), 367-379. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2775

[182]Tony Hey and Anne E. Trefethen (2005) Cyberinfrastructure for e-Science Vol 308, Issue 5723 pp. 817-821 DOI: 10.1126/science.1110410

[183]Lecun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436-444.
Deep learning | Nature

[184]Schneider, P., Walters, W. P., Plowright, A. T., Sieroka, N., Listgarten, J., Goodnow Jr, R. A., & Fisher, J. (2020). Rethinking drug design in the artificial intelligence era. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 19(5), 353-364. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-019-0050-3

[185]Raccuglia, P., Elbert, K. C., Adler, P. D., Falk, C., Wenny, M. B., Mollo, A., ... & Green, W. H. (2016). Machine-learning-assisted materials discovery using failed experiments. Nature, 533(7601), 73-76. Machine-learning-assisted materials discovery using failed experiments | Nature

[186]Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science, 349(6245), 255-260. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8415

[187]United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. United Nations General Assembly. Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development | Department of Economic and Social Affairs (un.org)

[188]Lewis, N. S., & Nocera, D. G. (2006). Powering the planet: Chemical challenges in solar energy utilization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(43), 15729-15735. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603395103

[189]Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., & Zaks, D. P. M. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature, 478(7369), 337-342.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10452

[190]Hoffman, A. J. (2015). How culture shapes the climate change debate. Stanford Social Innovation Review, The Canadian Journal of Sociology 40(4), 555-558.
https://www.jstor.org/stable/canajsocicahican.40.4.555

[191]Collins, F. S., Morgan, M., & Patrinos, A. (2003). The Human Genome Project: lessons from large-scale biology. Science, 300(5617), 286-290. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1084564

[192]Jantina de Vries [1], Michael Pepper (2011) Genomic sovereignty and the African promise: mining the African genome for the benefit of Africa J Med Ethics. 2012

Aug;38(8):474-8. DOI: 10.1136/medethics-2011-100448

[193]Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2013). Developing a framework for responsible innovation. Research Policy, 42(9), 1568-1580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2013.05.008

[194]National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2017). Fostering integrity in research. National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/21896

[195]Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science, 349(6245), 255-260. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8415

[196]United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. United Nations General Assembly. Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development | Department of Economic and Social Affairs (un.org)

[197]Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2013). Developing a framework for responsible innovation. Research Policy, 42(9), 1568-1580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2013.05.008

[198]Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & Macnaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 3065-3081. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0126

[199]Xiaona Li, Tao Wang, Scott X Chang, Xin Jiang, Yang Song (2020) Biochar increases soil microbial biomass but has variable effects on microbial diversity: A meta-analysis Sci Total Environ. 20:749:141593. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141593

[200]Yvonne Lokko, Marc Heijde, Karl Schebesta, Philippe Scholtès, Marc Van Montagu, Mauro Giacca c New Biotechnology (2018) Biotechnology and the bioeconomy—Towards inclusive and sustainable industrial development Volume 40, Part A, 25, Pages 5-10
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2017.06.005

[201]European Commission. (2019). Circular economy action plan. European Commission. Circular economy action plan - European Commission (europa.eu)

[202]Sven Lundie, Gregory M. Peters 2005 Life cycle assessment of food waste management options Journal of Cleaner Production Volume 13, Issue 3, 2005, Pages 275-286 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2004.02.020

[203]Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & Macnaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 3065-3081. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0126

[204]Jinyang Wang, Zhengqin Xiong, Yakov Kuzyakov (2016) Global change biology bioenergy Volume8, Issue3: 512-523 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12266

[205]M A De la Rubia, J A Villamil, J J Rodriguez, R Borja, A F Mohedano (2018) Mesophilic anaerobic co-digestion of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste with the liquid fraction from hydrothermal carbonization of sewage sludge Waste Manag.:76:315-322.
DOI: 10.1016/j.wasman.2018.02.046

[206]European Commission. (2019). Circular economy action plan. European Commission. Report on the implementation of the Circular Economy Action Plan - European Commission (europa.eu)

[207]Sven Lundie, Gregory M. Peters Journal of Cleaner Production (2005) Life cycle assessment of food waste management options Volume 13, Issue 3, Pages 275-286 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2004.02.020

e